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Editorial: On IRIE Vol. 10

The inspiration for this special issue began at a conference held in Stuttgart, Germany in December 2007, in which representatives of business and academia, among them experts on business ethics, economists as well as business data processing specialists, discussed the points of convergence between Business and Moral Intelligence. At this conference it became increasingly clear that the tension between Business and Moral Intelligence – though of increasing economical, political and social importance – still lacks a thorough scientific investigation.

In addition, in our discussion it became apparent that Business Intelligence was defined in terms of an intelligence agency for corporations, alluding to the gathering of ‘secret’ or ‘insider-information’ about the competitors. Moreover, this definition also spans strategies, processes and technologies used in order to achieve significant knowledge of the status, potential and perspectives of any given business.

However, as this issue shows, there is a broader understanding of Business Intelligence that goes beyond the definition shared above. It ranges from technical applications for supporting management decisions, to the quest of information about the actual and potential customers, to designing products that prevent data abuse and to ethical aspects of IT in general. Furthermore, Business Intelligence can be understood as a way a company runs its business in an intelligent manner, which would be rather Intelligent Business than Business Intelligence.

Now not only the broader definition of Business Intelligence points to some moral aspects. Since technology itself can be viewed as an ethical issue, this also goes for Business Intelligence in a narrowly defined sense. Fritzscbe demonstrates that the critical potential in which Business Intelligence is exposed is when IT becomes “an essential element of the process of decision making itself. With Business Intelligence, we are not only able to decide better or more efficiently. We are able to decide in a completely new way, sharing the authority for our decisions with the technology we use.” In this sense “the usage of IT creates an ethical challenge”.

One ethical aspect that Fritzscbe discusses is that on the one hand technologies need to be simplified so that the user can re-establish his authority over the system. On the other hand this deconstruction diminishes the benefit of technology. Although Business Intelligence provides a technological solution for this difficulty, it becomes clear that the problem of the user now shifts to the engineers who have to ensure an adequate simplification of the system.

Like Fritzscbe, Siemoneit emanates from Business Intelligence in its narrowly defined sense and discusses the impact of Ambient Intelligence on it. Embedding IT-systems in everyday objects leads to ethical issues as well, for instance the loss of privacy by saving personal data on a grand scale. In order to exemplify the various arising problems, Siemoneit refers to the smart factory where individuals come under the domination of machines and the privacy of workers is endangered. Furthermore, he indicates the assets and drawbacks of “Pay-As-You-Drive” models for insurance companies and their clients.

In everyday work-life Business Intelligence often goes along with delinquency, especially when it is used for espionage. Wright states that most organisations fail “to recognise all aspects of the security risks that they are exposed to” while at the same time cyber-crime rises because it becomes more profitable the more data is comprised. Besides, organisations focusing on e-crime give attention to detection and prosecution but hardly to prevention and intelligence analysis. The e-crime consultant defines eight innovative measures in order to secure data including the establishment of “E-Crime Departments”, a “pro-active hi-tech crisis management so as to identify those who use technology for an unlawful purpose” and procedures which will ensure that any electronic evidence for criminal activities does not get lost.

While there is a broad range of understanding for Business Intelligence, the authors agree that Moral Intelligence is not the application of morality for business objectives. On the contrary, it describes the willingness and ability to put something else than oneself and something else than efficiency matters in the centre of ones reflections. However, Moral Intelligence in practice is reinforced by voluntary tasks, such as the Global Compact or Corporate Governance, as well as by the law. Often there is an apparent difference between the lived rules and the corporate norms (a company’s morality).
Moreover, as the article of Bardy and Rubens shows, there are variations between business ethics and the models of Corporate Governance in the U.S. and continental Europe. Notwithstanding the differences, they conclude that in order to solve ethical issues it needs a rational theoretical (even philosophical) foundation on the one hand and a specific content that is generated from real-life experiences on the other hand.

While the methodical reflection on morality is another category, namely business ethics, than morality itself, it is of utmost importance that the ethical knowledge of intellectuals and of a critical public does not persist in a purely theoretical discussion, but that they seek a dialogue with practical economy.

However, it is hard to breach the economic logic, especially in large corporations. The compulsion to satisfy the shareholder value on the management level is carried forward throughout the whole corporate hierarchy by given objectives and performance-based salaries. Meanwhile, a practical economy that strives to meet ethical demands, needs managers who behave in a way that they can be taken as role models.

The difficulties of implementing some sort of Moral Intelligence in IT-projects are shown by the experiences of Manders-Huits and Zimmer in two different technical design communities: Vehicle Safety Communication Technology and User Profiling Infrastructures. Their attempts to influence the design of technologies revealed "discouraging results" and lead them to define three "Key Challenges of Value-Conscious Design" in order to overcome crucial pragmatic challenges in future projects.

When it comes to Business Intelligence meeting Moral Intelligence, the media plays a decisive role in that it filters overall information and frames the set of values. Scrutinising the value judgements of the media with regard to the content as well as to the methodology could lead to a more objective and balanced view of those frictions that become apparent in talk shows, newspapers and Internet forums.

It is noteworthy that due to developmental progress within communication technologies ‘the civil society catches up’. The Internet has deregulated the media by depriving its power. Hence the citizen has more possibilities of participation in society now, more than ever. Yet this opportunity faces the risk that the data obtained by Business Intelligence is misemployed like with new forms of corporate espionage, a higher vulnerability of business secrets, the balance between corporate privacy and publication as well as the modified relation to one’s own privacy within social Internet portals.

Spence, who is topping off the issue at hand, is concerned with the media whose “business intelligence’ must be congruent with ‘moral intelligence’”, while in conflict situations the moral aspect always has priority. Furthermore, Spence accentuates the responsibility of the media that produce and distribute a good that is public just like food and medicine: information, which is according to the author “doubly normative’.

This issue aims at inspiring a further and more detailed research in Business and Moral Intelligence. Only a few aspects of this rather new and roughly defined field are touched here and it must remain less than a general overview. Still we hope to give the reader an idea of this manifold matter that will gain in importance with the further development of technology.

Yours sincerely,

Yvonne Thorhauer and Stefan Blachfellner,

The Editors
Albrecht Fritzsche:

The simulated traces of action - B.I. and reflection through technology

Abstract:

Business Intelligence can be interpreted as a compensation for the growing complexity of technical support in economic transactions. With the help of sophisticated calculation and analysis tools, the business situation is simplified for the user in order to enable reasonable decisions. However, the simplicity of Business Intelligence is only simulated by hiding the system operations under the surface. This causes a disruption of the general concept of reasonable action. The notion of responsibility disappears between the business expert and the systems engineer. A possible solution to this problem is to change the system design process and to introduce competing technology which could show new traces of the system operation.
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An ethical challenge

Business Intelligence has lately become a very popular term in information technology. As it happens with popular terms, a variety of different applications are subsumed within BI. In a broad sense, every kind of tool that processes economic information can be called Business Intelligence: data extraction, transformation and loading procedures in a data warehouse, data marts, data mining tools, planning and optimization systems. In a specific sense, Business Intelligence is narrowed down to Online Analytical Processing (OLAP), Management or Executive Information Systems (MIS / EIS) and special front-ends with sophisticated reporting and planning functionality¹. Being called Business Intelligence, all such technology should show a common characteristic. Since its technical design is quite diverse, this characteristic can only be found in the field of application: Business Intelligence is used to support business decisions. In other words: BI describes a certain activity, not a certain technology. It would not have been necessary to coin a new term just to describe how the computer systems mentioned above work and what can be done with them. Business Intelligence marks a change of perspective to their application: IT is now considered as an essential element of the process of decision making itself. With Business Intelligence, we are not only able to decide better or more efficiently. We are able to decide in a completely new way, sharing the authority for our decisions with the technology we use. Where this happens, the usage of IT creates an ethical challenge.

Ubiquity and intransparency

The last two decades have brought a major change to the role of IT in business practice². Before that time, access to the information necessary for sound business decisions had been rather limited. The storage and the exchange of business data were slow, poorly coordinated and costly. Limited data availability was a severe restriction on the possibilities of how and where to do business. With the introduction of powerful computer systems, data warehouses and communication networks, this problem disappeared. It became possible to store and transmit any commercial information that appeared in a business transaction. Similar data from different sources could be amalgamated, consolidated and aggregated; complex interactions between business processes could be identified, analysed and managed. Without this progress in IT, the recent growth of international markets, outsourcing, globalisation and worldwide supply and production networks would hardly have been possible.

However, this development had an important side effect: All business processes were penetrated by technical applications. As much as IT has enabled economic growth, it has become an irreplaceable part of it. Distributed production facilities, off-shore services, electronic payment, individual consumer response and network management all depend on the availability of information technology. Without electronic data processing, business as it is performed today would not only be slowed down or have a lower level of efficiency; it would be impossible. In today’s world, IT is present everywhere and its contribution to our actions is so sophisticated that we hardly even realize it³.

Decision making and orientation

Limited information has an important advantage: decisions are much easier. With a growing number of interrelated variables and data instances, it becomes increasingly hard to understand what consequences a decision might have⁴. Modern distribution and supply networks, for example, have often reached a complexity where sophisticated products assembled with parts from dozens of different suppliers are produced for other dozens of different markets. The automotive industry had a leading role in this development: in recent years, the number of car models has more than tripled, various additional features for safety and comfort have become standard in modern automobiles and many new markets have emerged which require

---

² See e.g. Kamper, H.-G. et al.: Business Intelligen- ce – Grundlagen und praktische Anwendungen.
special attention. Under these circumstances, it is generally impossible to find optimal solutions to a decision problem with analytic methods of conclusion. Many companies have therefore introduced advanced planning systems which achieve good solutions in an acceptable time frame, but at the price of missing formal representation. Determinate analytical conclusions become impossible. The decision maker can justify the solutions only by the fact that nothing better can be found.

The users of huge data warehouses have to face a problem which looks in many ways rather similar. A large amount of data from various sources, generated in different ways, has been adapted, consolidated and transformed within the warehouse. Each set of data can undergo hundreds of different routines during which it can be rejected, replaced and/or changed. The end result of these routines cannot be verified by the user. Data mining tools and other analysis methods add further procedures for pattern recognition and pre-selection of data volumes. Data processing with such tools does not necessarily cause errors. In fact, the tools will usually offer the most – if not the only - accurate way available to treat the data. However, for the very reason that they enable work that would otherwise not be possible, they also change the meaning of technology: The most defining aspect of it, its determinacy, disappears.

**Technology and reflection**

Is has recently become popular to consider technology as an essential aspect of the human being in the world. In this sense, technology is “humanity at work”; technology is the general mode of human action. Much of these ideas can be traced back to Friedrich Hegel and the image of human action becoming external. With technology, an action is executed at a distance from the actor, which makes it possible to control it and reflect upon it. In fact, it seems that reflection in general needs an external point of view. If this is true, the distance to one’s own actions is in fact an indispensable aspect of establishing oneself as an actor at all. The determinate structures of cause and effect in technical operations provide such a distance. Technology therefore shapes our thinking in general. It is, as Christoph Hubig puts it, a term of reflection.

While technical determinacy thus seems necessary to reflect on action, reflection itself is necessary because of the indeterminacy of action. Inasmuch as an action intends to change one state of the world into another, its technical expression will always remain incomplete. Whatever happens in the course of the action will exceed technical description. Most of the time, the result and the intention of the action will not be identical. It was also Hegel who pointed out that the difference between the two is actually essential for human reason. If there were no difference, the actor would not be able to distinguish between him/herself as the master of the action and the application of technology as its execution: If the means always achieved the end, no distinction between the two would be possible. From a mere technical perspective, it is enough to consider the user in as far as he/she has an impact on the operation of the machine. In case of Business Intelligence, this means that the user is the source of the information that initiates and controls the course of the following calculations. There is no inherent need in technical thinking to give the user insight into the execution, because everything that happens has already been determined by the input. Whether the result of the calculation is displayed to the user, to another person, or whether it disappears without a trace does not matter for technology. However, considering what has been said above, it matters quite a lot for human action. Without traces, it is impossible for the user to tell the difference between the intended effect and the actual outcome.

---

5 Recent figures in VDA: Auto-Jahresbericht 2007 and ISI: Deutscher Delphi-Bericht zur Entwicklung von Wissenschaft und Technik
8 Fischer, P.: Philosophie der Technik, pp32.
The loss of traces

With Christoph Hubig, we can describe this problem in today's technology as a loss of traces. The permanent presence of complex and interactive technical applications in our life makes it increasingly hard to distinguish determinate technical procedures from their environment. Separate effects cannot be associated to separate operations. As a consequence, the authority of the user over the application of technology vanishes. We cannot distinguish our objectives as a user from the operation of technology any more. Instead of saying that we work with technology, we can now only say that we initiate it or and run it. This would not be such a problem if technology were complete – if it covered the user’s complete view of the world. But in reality, most of the background of a given situation will remain implicit to the application of the technology. All input to a calculation will always be an approximation, not only because of time and storage space, but also, because explicitness can only be achieved by the very means of technology itself.

Taking a closer look, the simplest numeric data that are input into technical systems convey some sort of approximation. Putting daily sales figures into a data warehouse, for example, seems to be a quite straightforward activity, but even here, space is left for interpretation e.g. whether a sale refers to a verbal agreement, a signed contract or a transfer of goods. The description of the customer, the product itself, or the point of sale might give cause for additional questions. In a direct conversation, one might sooner or later understand in general what information is expected. In front of a computer screen, this remains unclear. Nobody knows who will use the data and to what end, and at the other side of the system, background details concerning the data input remain invisible, too.

Similarly, the preferences put into a search system might be honest expressions of what the user thinks at that moment, but quite certainly there will be further, implicit understandings that these preferences only hold within certain boundaries and that some features in the search space will take precedence over others in case of a conflict. The user might not even know all this. If he/she were searching on his/her own, this would hardly matter, but in this case, the system executes the search. Seeing the result, the user cannot tell how many of his/her implicit understandings have been complied with or where the search would have ended with just slightly different preferences.

The simulated traces

Where the concepts of action dissolve in the size and complexity of technical operations, the only way to restore human authority over systems is simplification. Ubiquitous and intransparent technology that has become indeterminate has to be broken down into separate pieces over which the users re-establish their authority. Such a deconstruction, however, would severely diminish the benefit of technology. In fact, most of the efficiency that the latest technical developments achieve seems to be gained as a trade-off against human control. For a society whose economy is based on constant expansion, this would cause a fundamental contradiction. Business Intelligence therefore provides another solution.

Online Analytical Processing of the information in a data warehouse allows the user to navigate more easily through huge data volumes, to aggregate data and condense them into variable, simple reports. Routines of automatic data consolidation and adaptation in the initial phase enhance the quality of user input and smooth out mistakes and contradictions. Management Information Systems use optimized graphical data presentation and standard reporting layouts (e.g. the famous balanced scorecards) to point out the most significant issues for business decisions. Context sensitive search routines and forecasting by simulation introduce replacements for the general knowledge of its users inside the systems. In order to support human decisions, Business Intelligence thus hides in many different ways the size and complexity of technology behind simplified interfaces. In other words: Business Intelligence does not restore authority over the original technical operations – it compensates for their disappearance with the introduction of new environments of action on top of the technology. With Business Intelligence, it becomes possible to work on the focal points that are provided to the users. Pressure can be put on the areas with critical performance figures; preferences for planning can

---

12 Hubig, Ch.: »Wirkliche Virtualität«, pp53.
be setup in a more sophisticated way. And the systems do present traces of the action with the change of the figures and the simulation of planning results. However, these traces are not the traces of the underlying technology, but only their simulation at the surface where the Business Intelligence is installed.

**IT experts, users and morality**

The typical data warehouse has at least three different layers of data, one for input, one for transformation and one for output. Additional layers for input control, logging and output consolidation are becoming more and more popular. Business Intelligence with OLAP and MIS is restricted to the data in the output layer, automatic routines to the transformation layer. Whatever happens before remains outside the scope. Systems for advanced planning, forecasting and simulations make it easier to express preferences for the calculations and to check the results, but they do not affect the procedures of the calculation themselves. Considering this, it becomes clear that the problem of authority over the action is not solved with Business Intelligence; it just shifts it elsewhere. The critical point is not the usage of the system any more but its implementation. The user does not have to bother about dealing with technology any more, because everything has become easy. Instead, the systems engineer now carries full responsibility for ensuring that the system gets simplified correctly.

The typical company structure sets departments for information technology apart from business units. In addition, most projects for the implementation of Business Intelligence are run by external experts. Even if these experts have a professional training in economy, they lack the specific competence and experience to take responsibility for the business transactions of the company. This duty falls on the business unit. But in case of Business Intelligence, the user’s decisions are interconnected with the design of the system. What the user thinks is right depends on the consolidation procedures, aggregation methods, search routines and presentation techniques implemented in the system. At the same time, the only person able to build and change the system and to tune its performance parameters is the IT expert.

**The pragmatism of provisional ethics**

Considering the loss of traces in today’s technology, Christoph Hubig suggests a new pragmatism to sharpen the terms of our decisions with respect to the practical relevance they might have for our actions: we should use our freedom of choice to establish those concepts of action that seem necessary to us in the world. As soon as they are clarified, we have to shape our technology accordingly to confirm them. This is to be achieved by the intentional setting up of boundaries for technical applications. First, the range to which technology penetrates our life should be limited. Second, a possibility to establish transparency in the system should be available "on demand": According to the Stuttgart concept of Parallel communication, fractions should be introduced in the permanent flow of technology wherever it is necessary to assure access to determine operations.

Applied to Business Intelligence, this could mean that the course of system development has to be inverted. Instead of additional systems, which are added on top of an existing architecture, the design of the applications for Business Intelligence should take precedence. BI should not make sense of the data available; it should make data available in a sensible way. As a consequence, the engineer should follow the lead of the business experts and at the same time require their participation in all further endeavours to improve and expand the technical architecture. Many common approaches to software development, e.g. requirements engineering, already incorporate these suggestions. In other cases, e.g. rapid prototyping, it is represented as a feedback circle spanning over the complete range of the engineering project. However, the application of these methods is often incomplete. It stops before the underlying complexity of data warehouses, search algorithms etc. is addressed.

It still remains unclear in what way fractions in the permanent flow of technical operations can be introduced, because they appear as mere obstructions to efficiency. One solution might be the introduction of competing technology. Fractions then are differences between separate systems. While these differences may not resemble the traces that a technical operation leaves in a given reality, they still allow reflection on the effectiveness of the technology, which can lead to the desired separation

---

14 Hubig, Ch.: »Wirkliche Virtualität«, 60.
15 Wiegerling, K: Das Grundproblem des UbiComp und das Stuttgarter Konzept der Parallelkommunikation.
of means and ends. The traces of action still remain simulated, but they appear now between separate technical applications and not within a single one.

**Business experts and system engineers**

Ubiquitous, intransparent IT challenges rational decision making and acting, because the traces of the action in the real world disappear. Without these traces, technology loses its determinate quality. As a consequence, it becomes difficult to assign responsibilities in the usage of IT. Economic decision makers are greatly affected by this problem, because they work with complex and dynamic IT systems and huge amounts of data. In many situations, decisions are made under circumstances which do not allow their reflection according to their outcome. Technology then loses its status as a separate determinate instance between the actor and the world.

BI systems can be understood as a compensation for this problem. They restore the possibility for their users to decide and act rationally. In order to achieve that, they simplify the decision making situation at the front-end by excluding the complexity of the preceding layers of technology. For the user of these systems, it seems as if all the insoluble complexity issues have disappeared. However, they have just been shifted to the systems engineer. The engineer is concerned with technical efficiency, but the implications of the content of the system for business decisions will remain largely unclear. As a consequence, neither the business expert nor the engineer has the necessary insight to take responsibility for the decisions to be made with the system. In such a way the authority of the user of Business Intelligence over his/her actions might be able to be restored.
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**Business Intelligence and Ambient Intelligence - Some thoughts on the main trends of business information processing, their opportunities, problems and limitations.**

**Abstract:**

Ambient Intelligence, often also referred to as Pervasive Computing, Ubiquitous Computing or Context-Aware Computing, is supposed to have a lot of advantages for future business information processing. However, as in many cases, technological developments do not only provide opportunities, improve work conditions and make life more comfort for customers, but they also give rise to new problems. Aim of this paper is to discuss the pros and cons of Ambient Intelligence for future business intelligence on the basis of two scenarios. The first scenario deals with next generation manufacturing, the so-called smart factory. The second scenario is about different concepts of actuarial fairness based on Ambient Intelligence technology in the insurance industry, mainly different pay-as-you-drive-solutions. The infringement upon privacy is identified as main problem in both scenarios. Besides an in-depth discussion on this which opens up a much broader view on Ambient Intelligence in future business intelligence, different technical solutions are to be roughly outlined that could help to avoid some crucial problems.
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Problemstellung, Zielsetzung, Vorgehensweise


Ziel des vorliegenden Beitrags ist es, eingehend die Auswirkungen der Ambient Intelligence auf die Business Intelligence zu diskutieren, die Möglichkeiten, Probleme und Grenzen des Einsatzes dieser Techniken aufzuzeigen bzw. technische Lösungen zu präsentieren, bei denen aus technikhischer Perspektive auch von einer akzeptablen, gerechtfertigten Lösung gesprochen werden kann. Diese Diskussion erfolgt exemplarisch anhand zweier Betrachtungsbe- reiche: Fallbeispiel 1 untersucht aus einer intraorganisationalen Perspektive heraus, wie Ambient Intelligence unternehmensinterne Prozesse und Abläufe verändert und welche Potentiale sowie Herausforderungen hierbei erhussen; Fallbeispiel 2 geht aus einer extraorganisationalen Perspektive darauf ein, wie sich die Wertschöpfungsprozesse hin zum Kunden verändern.

Fallbeispiel 1: Intraorganisationale Effekte der Ambient Intelligence am Beispiel der Smart Factory


Aber auch bei einem recht verstandenen Einsatz von Lokalisationstechniken und Ambient-Intelligence-Lösungen in der Fertigung entstehen weitere tiefgreifende Probleme: Es ist dies vorwiegend das Problem der Privatheit am Arbeitsplatz. Ambient-


Fallbeispiel 2: Extraorganisationale Effekte der Ambient Intelligence am Beispiel des Pay-As-You-Drive

Auch im extraorganisationalen Bereich besteht der wesentliche Beitrag der Ambient Intelligence darin, qua Einbettung von Informations- und Kommunikationssystemen in Alltagsgegenstände die Bereitstellung bisher nicht erfassbarer Daten in Echtzeit in die Systeme der betrieblichen Business Intelligence zu ermöglichen. Die reale Welt soll in die digitale Welt automatisch „abgebildet“ werden – so die häufig zu findende Rede. Die zeitaufwendige und oft fehlerrhafte Eingabe der Daten per Hand soll entfallen und den Weg frei machen für weitere Optimierungen betriebssinterner Prozesse und Abläufe, einen engeren intensiven Kontakt zum Kunden, ein Closed-Loop- und One-To-One-Marketing (Mattern 2003, Fleisch/Mattern 2005).


Auf den ersten flüchtigen Blick scheint aus ethischer Perspektive nichts gegen ein Pay-As-You-Drive zu sprechen: Umsichtiges Fahren, das andere Verkehrsteilnehmer schützt, wird belohnt, unverantwortliches Fahren sanktioniert, indem Prämien verteuert werden bzw. der Versicherungsschutz gänzlich versagt wird. Betrachtet man aber Mobilität als eine Art verbrieftes Grundrecht, das für jeden Bürger liberal-demokratisch verfasster Gesellschaften zu einem erschwinglichen Preis zu haben sein sollte, wird die Problemlage schon schwieriger: Gerade junge Fahrer (Fahrerfänger) als auch ältere Fahrer (Rentner) müssen (jetzt schon) oft erheblich höhere Prämien entrichten, weil sie als risikobehafteter gelten als der Durchschnitt. Aus ethischer Perspektive als besonders problematisch erweist sich der Einsatz von Ambient-Intelligence-Lösungen im Versicherungsgeschäft dann, wenn man bedenkt, dass die Idee der risikoindividuellen Tarifierung nicht nur auf das sog. Nicht-Leben-Geschäft (etwa Lebens- und Krankenversicherungen) übertragen werden kann:

„Adidas could offer, manage, control individualized training programs based on the data acquired from communicating sensors in Adidas sport shoes. This information could be used by the health insurance company and prices would be adapted according to the training efforts of an insurance holder. One step further Adidas could offer new insurance products itself as an intermediary“ (Müller/Zimmermann 2003 S. 7).


Aus datenschutzrechtlicher bzw. ethischer Perspektive ist es daher wünschenswert, Ambient-Intelligence-Lösungen im Business-To-Consumer-Bereich von vorne herein möglichst datensparsam zu konzipieren, denn Datenvermeidung ist immer noch der beste Datenschutz. Dies ist auch technisch ohne weiteres möglich: Eine ethisch gerechtfertigte bzw. gut rechtfertigbare Lösung für das Pay-As-You-Drive könnte etwa derart aussehen, dass eine On-Board-Unit zwar lokal („im Fahrzeug“) alle relevanten Daten aufzeichnet, jedoch an das Versicherungsunternehmen nur abstrakte, aggregierte und konsolidierte Daten weitermeldet, die ebenfalls eine individuelle, risikoabhängige Tarifierung ermögli-
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chens, mit denen aber weder das Unternehmen, noch Behörden noch Dritte etwas anfangen können. Eine weitere Möglichkeit wäre es, das Pay-As-You-Drive konsequent als Offline-Lösung zu konzipieren: Eine Black-Box im Fahrzeug zeichnet zwar aggregierte Daten hinsichtlich des Fahrverhaltens auf, diese Daten werden aber erst im Falle eines Unfalls vom Versicherungsunternehmen vor Ort am Fahrzeug eingesehen, um die zukünftige Risikoprämie entsprechend anzupassen. Die genannten Systemlösungen würden damit nicht den gläsernen, aber den gehorsamen Autofahrer produzieren, für den die permanente Überwachung seines Fahrstils alltäglich geworden ist.
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**Abstract:**

IT enabled abuse and data compromise is a major problem to senior management and organisations as a whole. It has no boundaries, and globally undermines electronic commerce whilst being facilitated by the rapid development of the Internet, computer and information technology. The prevention, reporting, detection and our ability to investigate is of overriding importance to a range of institutions and establishments. Currently the full extent of the problem is not known and at present cannot be scoped; however there is substantial evidence that shows it to be on the increase. Despite historical reports such as the Council of Europe report on Cyber crime (2001)\(^1\) that indicated there would be an increase in criminal offences that exploit the opportunities presented by the globalisation of computer networks.

**Agenda**

- Introduction.................................................................................................................. 16
- What do we know?....................................................................................................... 17
- Trends............................................................................................................................ 18
- Investigative Ability..................................................................................................... 18
- Lack of Intelligence Analysis ..................................................................................... 19
- Incident Response....................................................................................................... 20
- Measuring the Effectiveness of Controls.................................................................... 21
- Conclusion................................................................................................................. 21

**Author(s):**

Paul Wright, MSc, EnCE, CFIA, CSTA:

- Organization and contact address: e-crime consultancy, PO Box 4400, Toddington, Dunstable, England LU5 6WE
- Telephone, email and personal homepage: ☑️ +44 7973 672918, ☣️ paulwright@e-crimeconsultancy.co.uk, ☭️ www.e-crimeconsultancy.co.uk

---


Introduction

Firstly, it will be argued that senior management should realign the primary objectives of information security from a focus on the principles of confidentiality, integrity, and availability (CIA) to risk and exposure assessments, and vulnerability testing. Secondly, and more importantly, the incorporation of a computer forensic capability, an incident response procedure and the use of digital intelligence within any information security strategy will be advocated. Then, having produced the evidence to support this, a number of recommendations on how to implement such strategies will be proposed.

Information is a valuable asset to any organisation, and those that use it for e-commerce are now being affected by the challenges of IT enabled abuse, in particular data compromise. In some instances the technological developments and innovative mind of the abuser are leaving information security and legal systems playing catch up.

This results in the persistent occurrence of IT abuse and data compromise globally, causing serious financial loss and reputational damage to organisations and the individual. The source of this data comes from large-scale compromise incidents at a corporate level, yet still the spotlight tends to focus upon the individual.

The other drawback when scoping IT abuse and data compromise is the fact that the availability of information is limited and all too regularly it is defined anecdotally from a small number of well-known incidents. At other times, questionable, optimistic or negative estimates are presented as fact. Some organisations believe that a thorough and methodical approach to the collation and analysis of compromise incident information will give up a more accurate evaluation of the circumstances and in time will produce a more reliable understanding of this problem.

To protect themselves, organisations need to be encouraged to invest in an Information Security Management System (ISMS). By implementing said systems organisations will at the same time go towards compliance with Principles 2 and 3 of the Financial Services Authority’s (FSA) report in 2007 entitled “Principles-Based Regulation.” Noting that the FSA clarifies this by stating that all organisations’ handling of data in both the public and private sectors can benefit from its advice.

Principle 2 requires “that a firm must conduct its business with due skill, care and diligence.”

Principle 3 that “a firm must take reasonable care to organise and control its affairs responsibly and effectively, with adequate risk management systems.”

Currently, the main objectives of information management are confidentiality, integrity and availability, not forgetting accountability and accessibility. Do these objectives enable organisations to protect themselves while being able to respond to incidents and disseminate digital intelligence so others can act upon it?

The FSA in their recent report on “Data Security and the Financial Services” have consolidated examples of poor practice in data security, for example:

1. No training to communicate policies and procedures.
2. Temporary staff receiving less-rigorous vetting than permanently employed colleagues carrying out similar roles.
3. Failing to consider continually whether employees in higher-risk positions are becoming vulnerable to committing fraud or being coerced by criminals.
4. Failing to monitor super users or other employees with access to large amounts of customer data.
5. Firms stockpiling obsolete computers and other portable media for too long and in insecure environments.

Surely a robust e-crime prevention approach and the establishment of e-crime prevention positions could target these areas and more?

18 http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk_politics/7667507.stm
What do we know?

Historical evidence on how organised offenders work can be found on the Internet, one incident in particular was when a person using the nickname ‘Reverse’ was interviewed and he/she stated:

“We have a group of professional hackers/fraudsters/legal bankers, we share information, but if we work together also revenues”.

“My name is ‘Script’, I’m a founder of forum.carderplanet.net and I can provide you with excellent credit cards with cvv2 code and without it, minimum deal is a USD $200.00” (Script, 2003)21.

A report from the Information Assurance Advisory Council (IAAC) claims that companies are not able to collect digital evidence properly, and as a consequence any prosecution is not straightforward. The IAAC have responded by releasing guidelines to assist companies in capturing and preserving electronic evidence in a way that makes it admissible in court. “Effective detection and prosecution have a central role to play in deterrence”, said Pauline Neville Jones, Chair of the IAAC (Sommer, 2005)22.

An important point is that organisations and individuals must recognise that whatever analysis they carry out and whatever policies and procedures are implemented, they will quickly become out of date. Moreover, this also includes how the investigator carries out digital analysis of computer media.

In the meantime, very few managers are happy to admit that they have had serious information security issues in their organisations and as a consequence that they must expect there will be more breaches in the future, and they will be harder to detect. As with legislation, the adoption of security controls is not keeping pace with the growing use of new technologies. Organisations are becoming dependent upon a complex web of worldwide information infrastructures, without necessarily understanding or quantifying the risks or their exposure to the same.

It is readily acknowledged that some organisations have tried and tested policies, procedures and best practices to combat data compromise. Unfortunately investigative experience shows us that this is not always the case and that there are internal organisational voids and single points of failure that facilitate such compromise.

When an incident occurs there is a strong tendency to have staff ‘take a quick look’ at the computers involved in an attempt to confirm or deny suspicions. Unfortunately, this act, if not carried out using proper protocols will result in changes to data that damage forensic evidence23. IT professionals are well informed about their organisations’ systems, data locations, media types, software use and data retention policies. Nonetheless, this wealth of information does not give rise to an expertise in the area of e-data compromise or computer forensics.

Illegal on-line techniques and illegal electronic searching of an organisation’s data are being used to obtain sensitive documents. The wealth of the supply can be substantiated by looking at the chronology of data breaches available on the privacyrights.org website24. It indicates the extent of the problem, for example, from January 2005 till January 2009, the said website records a total of over 252,000,000 identities as having been lost. These incidents are mainly located in the United States; on the other hand, if breaches from the rest of the world were included the total number would be appreciably higher.

Recent high profile financial investigations involving global organisations demonstrate the pivotal role that electronic data can play in legal disputes and investigations. Organisations that choose to ignore this vital evidence risk severe reputational damage and financial penalties. These events and the recent

24 http://www.privacyrights.org/ar/ChronDataBreaches.htm#CP
loss of data from the Child Benefit Agency\textsuperscript{25} and the Nationwide Building Society\textsuperscript{26} have raised the growing profile of identity theft and what it can cost a company. The FSA fined the Nationwide £980,000.

The focus to date has been on how individuals can protect themselves by shredding documentation and showing extra caution when divulging personal details. However, while rising levels of consumer awareness should be welcomed and encouraged, the focus on the individual is not the way forward. One of the major sources of customer information for the offender comes from large-scale data compromise and identity theft incidents at a corporate level.\textsuperscript{27} Confidential data such as consumer details, intellectual property and financially sensitive information all have a fundamental value.\textsuperscript{28}

It is important to note that when missing data is retrieved, if the data was not protected i.e. encrypted, there is no assurance that it has not already been duplicated, stored elsewhere, or forwarded to another for illicit purposes. In addition, in 2007 data loss was reported to be the most common type of financial crime reported to the FSA, who also judged it to be highly likely that many data losses are not identified or go unreported.\textsuperscript{29}

Trends

Firstly, a large number of organisations are failing to recognise all aspects of the security risks that they are exposed to. Some just do not realise the magnitude of the risk, while others do not have the know-how to mitigate their vulnerability and countless fail to provide sufficient resources to lessen the risk.

Secondly, the enormous volume of data concerned in a typical compromise incident practically assures that those involved have a plentiful supply of information and intelligence, which is the foundation for many illegal endeavours. This endless supply of high quality data virtually guarantees that for the foreseeable future IT abuse and data compromises will remain a profitable business. It is also useful to recognise that immoral individuals will respond to opportunities rapidly and tend to exploit emerging opportunities much faster than most organisations can design and implement security controls.

Then there is a ‘reputational damage’ bias in any statistics. This is due to the fact that a significant number of organisations consistently fail to report information and therefore cause an under representation in the available figures. Additionally, those developing organisations that lack any Information Security Management System (ISMS) have an inadequate ability to detect and report data compromises.

More recently, we have seen another trend where security cleared staff contravened internal policies and procedures by looking at information relating to celebrities, committed fraud or were coerced into giving data to criminals or allowing them access to the same.\textsuperscript{30}

Investigative Ability

IT abuse and data compromise is committed across cyberspace and does not stop at national borders. More than with any other global crime, the swiftness and flexibility of IT abuse and data compromise challenge the existing rules of regulation and legislation. It can be perpetrated from anywhere in the world against any computer, and it is recognised that efficient action to combat it is necessary at not only a local level but also at a global level.

Legislation has fallen behind; it needs to maintain the same speed of change as “Moore’s Law”.\textsuperscript{31} The international legal systems have gone some way to achieve this with the sixth principle\textsuperscript{32} established by G8, commonly known as “Quick freeze, slow

\textsuperscript{25} \url{http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/politics/7104840.stm}
\textsuperscript{26} \url{http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/business/6360715.stm}
\textsuperscript{27} \url{http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/7449927.stm}
\textsuperscript{28} \url{http://money.aol.co.uk/credit-report-centre/bank-details-sold-on-internet/article/20071203012409990001}
\textsuperscript{29} Page 15, Data Security in Financial Services 2008 [Online] \url{http://www.fsa.gov.uk/pubs/other/data_security.pdf}
\textsuperscript{30} \url{http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2008/mar/21/barrackobama.uselections2008}
\textsuperscript{31} \url{http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moore%27s_law}
\textsuperscript{32} Meeting of the Justice and Interior Ministers of the Eight December 9\textsuperscript{th} -10\textsuperscript{th}, 1997
thaw". Despite this the detection and punishment of cybercrime is highly likely to remain problematic.

Then consider that alongside the fact that, despite a clear need for consistent legislation around the world to facilitate international investigations, there are major differences between the legal systems and cultures, making legislative consistency difficult. The implementation of 'Corpus Juris' is a long way off.

Organisations are becoming dependent upon a complex web of global information infrastructures, without necessarily understanding or quantifying the risks. The damaging results of an incident can be minimised if an organisation is pro-active and innovative in putting itself in a position to lessen the cost of any investigation, and penalties that are related to the exposure of valuable data. The scope of the problem may be larger than first perceived and untrained staff may overlook significant lines of investigation, which then leaves the organisation vulnerable to a reoccurrence of the same incident. Therefore, policies need to be established with regard to company computers and networks to provide a line of authority for the conducting of such an investigation.

As always, the quandary of target-based investigations is that funding decisions are based on tick box results, good clear-up figures and value for money. By contrast, there's little or no motivation to invest in e-crime investigations and forensics when the offences are extremely complex to investigate and the probability of a successful conclusion are restricted, especially as offenders and evidence are often located overseas.

---

33 "Quick freeze, slow thaw" arrangement by which law enforcement and judicial bodies can fulfil their procedural obligations under domestic law for release of information to foreign law enforcement or judicial officials without risking the loss of critical data. http://media.hoover.org/documents/0817999825_35.pdf

34 Wikipedia. Corpus Juris. The legal term Corpus Juris means "body of law". It was originally used by the Romans for several of their collections of all the laws in a certain field. Retrieved October 18 2006 from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corpus_juris

Peter Sommer has responded to Minister Hazel Blears recent announcement of £70 million spent on funding websites to target Muslims in a bid to counter the threat of web-based extremism by stating, "How can that sort of money be justified when there are areas of e-crime crying out for cash, but not getting a penny? It's outrageous."

Previous investigations and business presentations can confirm that organisations could do more and are definitely vulnerable to e-crime and IT abuse. Would an e-crime advice centre linked to the chamber of commerce be a way forward? Has the National e-Crime Prevention Centre (NeCPC) got it right? Will we be playing 'catch-up' when the Police Central e-Crime Unit (PCEU) is established in 2009?

Lack of Intelligence Analysis

Many make out that there are limitations to the information and intelligence that can be gathered; there is not, there is only a need to balance, risk assess and evaluate one's ability to gather digital intelligence – know thy enemy.

In these circumstances, knowing your foe is no longer an option – it's a necessity. If organisations do not know their enemy and are unaware of current trends and techniques, it becomes difficult to see how effective controls, procedures and policies can realistically be put in place. For example, how many organisations routinely harvest the criminal intelligence that is openly available to anyone with access to the Internet? How many organisations know how to monitor criminal data markets so that they can see if any of their corporate data is being offered for sale, thus indicating that they have had a security breach? In addition, without an understanding of the way the high-tech abuser thinks, it becomes difficult to anticipate future attacks.

This is where senior management has to have an understanding of the offender’s modus operandi for...
acquiring data. This in turn will lead to a logical conclusion that international coordination and collaboration are not the only ways to address data compromise.

There is hard intelligence that data markets exist and are expanding and it is compounded when you combine this with the fact that the sheer volume of data involved in a typical compromise guarantees that the criminal has an abundant supply of individual and corporate information.

In addition some organisations have implemented a retrospective move towards information and data security risk assessments, and exposure assessments. They need a breach of security or data loss to have taken place before considering such risks, exposure and procedures to deal with the same. Without these, organisations will allocate their assets improperly and expose themselves, their clients and customers to preventable risk.

It is also worth noting that currently the works of those who produce investigative guidelines for e-crime tend to focus on detection and prosecution. They cover the specialist forensic and investigative work that is required to tackle IT abuse and e-crime, but give little attention to the area of e-crime prevention and intelligence analysis.

Incident Response

According to the ISO standard, "information security is achieved by implementing a suitable set of controls." It goes on to recommend that an organisation should establish procedures "to ensure a quick, effective and orderly response to security incidents". These procedures should guarantee the reporting of an incident to an appropriate authority. The organisation that has suffered a security incident must properly collect evidence in relation to a potential breach of contract, breach of regulatory requirement or in the event of civil or criminal proceedings.

This requires that the evidence be collected in a forensically sound manner. The standard recognises this explicitly, as it notes that an organisation "should ensure that their information systems comply with the requirements applicable to the production of admissible evidence. Indeed, to achieve quality and completeness of the evidence, a strong evidence trail is needed." If an organisation does not have the tools necessary to collect evidence in a manner that preserves its admissibility it risks compromising its legal, and as a consequence its financial situation.

When an incident is detected initially, it may not be apparent that it will result in civil or criminal court proceedings. Therefore, the danger exists that necessary evidence is destroyed accidentally before the seriousness of the incident is realised. Best practice utilises the standard to improve current information security controls and measures. An organisation must decide which strategy is most appropriate to match its business needs.

The integration of network and computer forensics into an information security programme can limit the exposure of critical and sensitive data in the event of a security breach. It can also facilitate an appropriate reaction and warning procedure so as to reduce exposure and liability.

A lack of understanding about computer forensics means that organisations are highly likely to handle any potential evidence in an improper manner. Such evidence needs to have been acquired in a forensically sound manner; failure to comply with the appropriate evidential handling procedure, civil or criminal, can result in no formal action being taken against a perpetrator. Note that criminal proceedings require a higher degree of proof.

The importance of forensic awareness and the employment of computer forensics when responding to an incident cannot be emphasised enough. Those that do prepare will put themselves ahead of the game when it comes to reducing costs and liabilities associated with the exposure of data.

Therefore extra investment in security, incident response and computer forensics is worthwhile, especially as many are now remarking on how the increased use of computers to commit offences produces a significant volume of electronic evidence for both civil and criminal proceedings. This in turn is causing problems to investigators due to the numerous places in which evidence can be located.
and the ease with which such evidence can become contaminated.  

“If there is even the slightest chance that your may prosecute an individual or organisation based on evidence obtained during your forensic investigation, I highly recommend that you obtain assistance from qualified forensic analysts and/or technology minded law enforcement officers.”  

Measuring the Effectiveness of Controls

So we need to be able to define how to measure the effectiveness of the selected controls, and to specify how these measurements are to be used to evaluate control efficiency to produce comparable and reproducible results. In other words, measuring the effectiveness of controls to verify that security requirements have, and can be been met.

One such tactic that can be successfully deployed is to conduct a focused exposure assessment to help identify areas where organisations are most exposed to the threat of data compromise. Exposure assessments are designed to get under the skin of potential control weaknesses and to examine existing control regimes with a view to identifying opportunities for unlawful activity. However, if there is not a genuine understanding of the way that criminals operate in this arena, then many potential control weaknesses may go undisclosed.

One way to benchmark data security is to use an International worth standard such as ISO 27001, a security management standard that was introduced in 2005 by the FSA.

Conclusion

Today, there are more organisations experiencing IT abuse and data compromise than ever before, and there are a wider variety of information security systems being breached. To go some way to fighting this, a significant number of organisations need to take steps to develop their incident response procedures by improving their policies and forensic capabilities.

A business operating in multiple countries has to comply with a number of different and sometimes-contradictory legal constraints. This is a burden to an organisation and unfortunately it is highly unlikely to change in the anticipated future. Therefore if others are not going to provide legislative protection, we need to be groundbreaking and implement innovative organisational policies and procedures. We believe that realigned information security principles are one way of achieving this cross border, cross-jurisdictional problem, combined with the implementation of incident response.

This issue is a very important one from an organisational perspective because not only are there many legislative drivers regarding information security, there is evidence to show that share price is affected after a security breach and/or a data compromise incident being declared.

One of the key reasons given by respondents to security surveys for not reporting data compromise and other hi-tech security breaches was the concern for reputational damage. Therefore why not attack this cross road of ideals from another angle; join together in establishing a coordinated approach to the hi-tech and e-crime aspect of prevention and have all promote the implementation of pro-active policies and procedures in relation to information security management, whilst strengthening them with a forensic and incident response capability.

History validates such a strategy when you consider that many organisations are unaware of how readily the information intruder will exploit weaknesses and

---


43 February 2005 to June 2006 – Hydrasight and Enterprise Management Association (EMA) examined stock prices of companies who had disclosed an information security breach and found that their shares fell by 5% within a month and did not recover pre-incident for nearly a year.

44 http://www.gocsi.com/press/20050714.jhtml
how easy it is for them to subsequently, sell, trade or use that data for a range of unlawful activities.

"Clicking on the above links will lead you to the illicit underworld of the Internet" (Internet.com)45

Despite all current legislation and regulation, as well as organisational adherence to best practice, policy implementation and recognising standards, there is still:

1. A continued growth in criminal data markets
2. An increase in the reluctance to report IT abuse and data compromises
3. A growing risk to reward\punishment ratio for e-crime
4. A challenge for all organisations to keep up with the advances in technology
5. Contrary to the Information Commissioner’s stance, data being taken offsite on laptops and other portable devices46
6. A failure by organisations to acknowledge that any security breach that leads to data loss is their responsibility.

However, what is likely to further the private sector's ability to investigate IT enabled abuse is the thought of legal sanctions. This will be an increasing motivator that will cause organisations to consider the establishment of electronic management, the implementation of new security principles and the establishment of an e-crime department, because if we do not take action we will fall ever further behind the offender instead of getting as close as possible.

At the same time it is key to acknowledge the advancement already being made, it remains essential that such progress does not remain predictable and that all concerned look to introduce new and innovative measures, for example:

1. Prepare IT systems as a source of evidence by having a forensic capability and prepare staff to be able to respond to hi-tech incidents. At the same time support them with achievable, efficient and effective control policies and procedures.
2. Establish E-Crime Departments to fill the gap between IT Security and General Investigation Departments, or ensure that they have a third party e-crime capability.
3. Regular reviews and practice of security controls so that they keep pace with the growing illegal use of new technologies.
4. Introduce policies and procedures for the collection and seizing of electronic evidence, and these in turn should be incorporated into any incident response policy.
5. Bring in pro-active hi-tech crisis management so as to identify those who use technology for an unlawful purpose, in particular IT abuse and data compromise.
6. Understand the offender and keep up with their techniques through the use of digital intelligence.
7. Make sure that data is effectively protected from loss or theft.
8. Have designated individuals identified who will ultimately take responsibility for the final accountability of any breach of security and/or loss of data.

The implementation of such measures will cause to be put in place a new and positive approach to protecting data and an opportunity to set up an early warning system that will inform us when compromised data is being bought, sold and used for illegal purposes.

46 www.ico.gov.uk
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Introduction

Historically there has been a history of pronounced divide of governance and legal systems between the Nordic states and the United Kingdom on the one end and Continental Europe on the other end. The US approach has been nourished mainly from the U.K.; however, contrasting views on how corporations should be managed and overseen have evolved. These differences greatly effect how CEOs look at issues such as governance, accountability, and strategic decision-making, as well as a host of corporate and ethical issues ranging from CEO compensation to how the CEO and the companies deal with competitive intelligence, intellectual property rights, employee privacy, and customer relations.

Recent events such as the meltdown of our financial markets, corporate bailouts, as well as the infamous corporate scandals such as the Enron catastrophe, the corruptions at WorldCom, Tyco, Vivendi, and Royal Ahold, as well as the passage by U.S. Congress of the Corporate and Auditing Accountability, Responsibility, and Transparency Act of 2002\textsuperscript{47} have all served to radically change public and business expectations of corporate accountability. They have brought issues such as business ethics, corporate governance and CEO compensation to the forefront of the business and political agenda\textsuperscript{48-50}. Increasingly, the ethics and decision-making practices of CEOs in the U.S. and Europe are coming under greater scrutiny as more and more CEOs are accused of corporate wrongdoings, and as our businesses and organizations on both sides of the Atlantic are faced with stiff competition from a global market and are required to do more for our customers with fewer resources. In addition, this recent turmoil in the world financial markets has only resulted in solidifying public opinion of the need for change in our corporate boardrooms and how CEOs are to govern. The U.S. and European CEOs in the future are faced with a very different business environment than CEOs of only a few years ago. Issues such as volatile economic and social systems, changing expectations of employees and consumers, cost-containment pressures, and the growing dominance of China and Asia confront the new managers in the U.S. and Europe and challenge his or her decision-making ability to make equitable and fair decisions, and how to survive in the future. From there, it is hoped that dealing with all these issues will become more ethical. But it is assumed that the differences among Western countries in ethical practices and ideology will remain and will produce different outcomes.

A View on Issues of Business Environment

The focus and the challenges of business ethics in Continental Europe today must be viewed from the perspective that has evolved after the breakdown of communism. Democracy is new in many countries of Europe, and even though the concept of democracy is European as it was invented in the city state of Athens some 2500 years ago, there is a much longer tradition of democratic values in the U.S., and the types of constitutional set-ups which prevailed in Europe and which have helped to form the business environment are very different from what we have in the U.S.

Exhibit 1 shows a list of where business issues differ between the U.S. and Continental Europe. Foremost is public administration. With regard to how far public administration stretches here and there, one statistic “shows it all”: According to an Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) source, total cost of government as a percentage of GDP were: In the US 33.5, in the UK 55.9, in Germany 55.7, in Italy 59.3, in France 54.0, (OECD) source, total cost of government as a percentage of GDP were: In the US 33.5, in the UK 55.9, in Germany 55.7, in Italy 59.3, in France 54.0, in Sweden 66.7; the EU average was 51.0. This overall difference explains why the legal environment of business must be different. Also, where we have \textit{uniformity} in the issue we might still find \textit{differences} in attitude. For example with the issue of human rights, as long as there was \textit{Apartheid} in South Africa, US firms withdrew, European firms remained. While there are still US-sanctions against Cuba, EU politicians favor “humanitarian aid,” and while the US generally prefers a “hard diplomacy”, Europe deploys a much softer and very different type of diplomacy. Yet there is a common base for both, and one may trace it back to Adam Smith who, long before publishing “Wealth of Nations”, delineating the goals attained by the \textit{homo oeconomicus}, wrote “The Theory of Moral Sentiments” where he depicted the \textit{homo moralis}. One may hold that there is a transatlantic divide in determining which is the proper balance of the two attainments,

---

\textsuperscript{47} Sarbanes-Oxley 2002  
\textsuperscript{48} Verschoor 2006  
\textsuperscript{49} Hasson 2002  
\textsuperscript{50} Roberts 2002
but there will always be an agreement on what extent this balancing is acceptable.

**Exhibit 1 Issues that Demonstrate Differences/Uniformity of Business Ethics in US and Europe:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differences</th>
<th>Uniformity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focus of Public Administration</td>
<td>Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System and Curriculums of Education</td>
<td>Free Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitiveness to Stock Price-Effects</td>
<td>Deregulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Governance</td>
<td>Child Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal System</td>
<td>OECD Code for Multinationals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Law</td>
<td>WTO (GATT) TPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Protection</td>
<td>GATS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy Protection</td>
<td>TRIPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace Protection</td>
<td>Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Rotation</td>
<td>Responsible Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Transformation (M&amp;A, Spin-Offs)</td>
<td>Bribery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAAP: Rules-Based; IAS: Principles-Based</td>
<td>Insider Trading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Consumption</td>
<td>Trust within Business Relations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are three other, more practical, consequences which may be gleaned from the contents of Exhibit 1: First, from the outward evidence for a preference of rules-based approaches in the U.S. (over the principles-based approaches in Continental Europe) as in matters like GAAP and, more generally, Corporate Governance, we may infer that this has a foundation in ethical approaches which are slightly more instrumental and rules-based in the US. Secondly, as trust is a common denominator on both sides of the Atlantic with slight variances within Continental Europe, legal barriers to the global
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knowledge economy are bound to fail and even the Commission of the European Union will eventually ease its prohibitive Privacy Rules. And thirdly, as legal provisions have a much wider reach in Continental Europe, e.g. in Labor Law, the spectrum of guidance in the US will more often mix ethical and legal compliance.

The Legal Environment

Law is inherent in ethics and in how businesses operate. A basic difference between US/Anglo-Saxon and Continental European practice is that the US and the UK apply case law; while on the continent we have a dominance of code law. Then, there is the judiciary: One topic of almost antagonistic layout is that of the competencies of courts. Courts have ample jurisdiction in the U.S., while in Continental Europe there is a variety of special courts apart from the ordinary courts such as the labor courts, social courts, and administrative courts. A related topic is that U.S. standing rules allow greater access. For example, in Europe, a case will only be accepted by a court if there is an ‘individualized impact’, while a case will "stand" before a US- court if whatever infringement of law can be demonstrated. So, while suits to compel administrative action are a normal procedure in the US, in (Continental) Europe cases of administrative inaction are taken to court less frequently than in the US. The Europeans, generally, give priority to a stable political consensus on regulatory matters by prior consultation. In addition, in Europe there are many patterns of tightly knit state-society-relations and the state organizes social interests into large representative hierarchies. This is often called a "corporatist" environment, and it relates to another issue, i.e. that of employee representation and co-determination: Union laws in the US are not as compelling as in Europe. Even if this may probably change under the new democratic administration and the democratic congress from 2009, the change will never reach as far as, e.g., labor law in Germany, where co-determination is not only executed on the shop floor level but also in the boards, where trade unions and employees representatives have voting rights. This explains why there will always remain fundamental differences in how corporate governance is organized and practiced.

The legal environment in the former communist states of Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) is still to be developed further. But what matters more is that an underlying ethical foundation like Immanuel Kant’s (1724-1804) Categorical Imperative ("Act only on that maxim by which you can at the same time will that it should become a universal law") would be implanted in the CEE states. This would also encompass the fundamentals of what Karl Popper (1902 – 1994) called the "Open Society" and what F.A. Von Hayek (1899 – 1992) called the "abstract rules" of "Just Conduct" (i.e. honoring property rights and contracts). In practice, however, there is not enough emphasis on ethical issues in the process of what the politicians call "homogenizing the rules throughout the EU". For the time being, however, more emphasis is placed on reforming the business laws, on introducing all facets of capital-market-constitution and non-intervention. It seems that the task of dispersing knowledge on "western" business procedures and (ethical) responsibilities is much more taken up by U.S. businesses and U.S. business schools. From that side of the Atlantic also comes the ethical concern of John Rawls’ ideas may seem to be a representation into the legal of what was expressed philosophically by Jeremy Bentham (1748-1832), the English father of Utilitarianism (...an action is good if it produces ... the greatest amount of satisfaction for the greatest number of stakeholders affected by the action"). But welfare, according to Rawls, is not just benefits, it is about "primary social goods", which includes the notion that justice (fairness) and self-respect are prior to questions of mere satisfaction. This certainly is a fundamental ethical principle to be

and the Last Man 1992), the US, Germany and Japan are 'high-trust' societies, while Italy, France, Korea, Taiwan are 'low-trust' societies. He has tested his thesis, and while his findings are that spontaneous sociability – one element of trust – makes for economic growth as it establishes orderly industrial structure, he attributes a higher grade to cognitive trust. Cognitive trust is inherent in network organizations and this will give a natural advantage to those societies that have a high degree of trust (Fukuyama 1995). In this, we have what we might call a „partial divide“ throughout Europe.
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Models of Corporate Governance

The main differences between the US and the continental European models of corporate governance are shown in Exhibit 2:

Exhibit 2 Models of Corporate Governance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Co-determination</th>
<th>Stakeholders Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stockholders</td>
<td>Capital and Labor</td>
<td>Social/political/economic interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors</td>
<td>Supervisory Board</td>
<td>Stakeholders in Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers</td>
<td>Management Board</td>
<td>Managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>Mgrs/Employees</td>
<td>Employees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the traditional US model of corporate governance features a “one-tier” structure (there is one layer of supervision between stockholders and managers, and this is the board of directors), the continental model of co-determination has two tiers; a supervisory board and a management board, with capital (shareholders), and labor (unions and employees being represented equally in the supervisory board). Some economies in Eastern Europe have set up what is commonly referred to as a “Stakeholder Model” which is also practiced in Northern Europe. It includes social, political and economic interests in the oversight and in the board levels.

There are advantages and disadvantages in both structural layouts of corporate governance. But on both sides of the Atlantic, the ethical dimension remains the same: Accountability is foremost, and “Corporate Citizenship”, as well as “Corporate Social Responsibility”, though first coined by US corporations, have soon become a commitment in Europe. Going even further, some European countries, e.g. Italy and Austria, have copied the US model of sanctioning corporate crime by establishing ambitious legal frameworks for corporate criminal responsibility. Also, the systems and procedures for internal control, compliance and risk management have become universal with global application of the COSO model (COSO = Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission on Corporate Governance) and of GRC Software (GRC = Governance, Risk Management and Compliance). It is self-evident that risk management entails an ethical dimension, because if a business avoids unacceptable and worrying risks it also acts responsibly towards all stakeholders.

Managing for Compliance and Integrity

Being accountable and being responsible implies that officers on all levels of the corporation are not only able to account but also required to render accounts. In this sense, accountability is part of the normative social order of any community or institution. Corporate governance must provide an appropriate framework of reporting routines, systematic assessment and approval procedures etc., and the moral significance of accounting practice must be upheld and regained, not least because of the prominent role that accounting representations have played in recent corporate scandals. Beyond man-
management accounting, financial accounting and financial auditing (where substantial reforms are under way with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and with the 8th European Directive that governs the audit profession), reporting and auditing on ethical and social issues is becoming mandatory in the global environment. One background is the negative attention attracted through consumers, media and non-governmental organizations on, e.g. apparel distributors such as Nike, Levi Strauss, Benetton, Adidas or C&A 57 for questionable procurement and production practices. Another background is the efforts of innumerous institutions establishing internationally accepted standards such as SA 8000, AA 1000 and the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI):

- SA 8000 58 was founded in 1997 and is now under the control of Social Accountability International (SAI) 59. It is a global, verifiable standard for managing, auditing and certifying compliance with workplace issues, and companies may seek certification through an accredited authorization auditor.

- AA 1000 60 was created by the Institute for Social and Ethical AccountAbility 61 and its main goal is a so-called "stakeholder engagement". The engagement of stakeholders enables AA 1000 to build confidence and give legitimacy for a good reputation by projecting a defined stance on social and ethical issues.

- GRI is a multi-stakeholder governed institution providing global standards in sustainability reporting and special alignment with the UN Global Compact Principles on Human Rights, Community Impact, Climate Change etc. 62.

The three sets of standards are very different in their respective inherent logic, and adherence to either SA 8000 or AA 1000 largely depends on the willingness to participate in the audit and certification processes. Information on SA 8000 and AA 1000 compliance is not available publicly. However, GRI is linked to the Corporate Register Database 63, which can be freely accessed and which as today provides 18880 Corporate Social Responsibility reports across 4779 companies.

The evolution of the standards, especially SA 8000, may serve to demonstrate that norms will only be generally accepted if all affected stakeholders were involved in their elaboration through the SAI initiative. There is a close link in this to Discourse Ethics which stipulates that "a norm is valid when its foreseeable consequences ... could be jointly accepted by all concerned without coercion", and that "only those norms can claim validity that could meet with the acceptance of all concerned in practical discourse" 64. Even though it may be argued that not each and every of all potential stakeholders were involved in the making of SA 8000, SAI's intention clearly is to include all argumentation. The ongoing process of SA 8000 amendments will also improve the formal design of dialogues (SA 8000 explicitly asks for stakeholder discourses). And it could further shift the idea of the goal of communication from the result, as in traditional discourse theory (a German feature), to the process itself (a US feature), promoting the concept of an ongoing moral conversation. This difference (another "transatlantic divide") is not just limited to the procedures of the discourse; the US-based philosopher Seyla Benhabib also criticizes that, traditionally, contemporary moral and political theory makes a sharp distinction between matters of justice and matters of the good life, and that it only places the former in the realm of ethics. Her expansion of the traditional idea has led to the inclusion of questions and experiences belonging to the private sphere into the moral and political domain – a development that will definitely promote the range of social accounting 65.

The ethical contextualization of business relations

The focus on Corporate Governance is primordial, because oversee, governance and culture influence decision making on all levels. Towards the outside of the corporation, ethical or non-ethical behavior becomes manifest in the contexts of business relations: How does the corporation, how do their
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officers treat its constituencies (or “stakeholders”) customers, consumers, suppliers and service partners, its contractors and employees? And are there differences in this between the US and Europe? Does it matter that the central emphasis on ethics subjects tends to be individual actors in the US, whereas in Europe there is a more “pluralistic” view and hence more interest in institutions?

The relation to customers/consumers

All links and activities between buyers and vendors are configured through responsibilities that are based on generally accepted values: Autonomy, Freedom, Justice, Trust, Truth and Well-Being are constitutive of a marketing ethics. They are not unique to marketing, because they also relate to other areas of life. But when used in marketing, they are part and parcel of decisions regarding product development, advertising, market research etc. Advertising and its use of “sex and fear” and of deceptive practices is, of course, a favorite target of moral criticism on both sides of the Atlantic. But the practices differ: In the US, comparative advertising is legal, which it is not in the European Union, whilst the tactic of “bait and switch” (making people believe that a certain product is available and then offer them another, more expensive alternative) is illegal in the US, but it is not prohibited by law in Europe. Deceptive pricing by “no frills airlines” has been criticized by advertising watchdogs all along, but an EU regulation came up only recently. This is also due to data problems and the lack of European-wide information systems in this area. Non-governmental initiatives use much more information economics here. An US example is “Consumer Fraud Reporting”, an online service that warns consumers about specific types of financial scams via the internet.

In the US as well as in Europe, the legal framework on pricing and market exchange was largely predicated on the notion of “caveat emptor”, or buyer beware, even though the historic foundation of “fair dealing” in the US stems from the “Federal Trade Commission Act” which was created in 1914. From there, protection of consumer rights which erodes the notion of “buyer beware” developed much faster in the US (e.g. with the 1975 Consumer Goods Pricing Act) than in Europe. EU regulations as well as national legal frameworks which enshrine the right to safe and efficacious products and to truthful measurements and labeling are worded in very general terms and national laws in Europe differ substantially from each other. So, business ethics very often must begin where the law ends. But there will always be gray areas; if we take the example of “healthy food” and “effectual cosmetics”, we are very clearly into consumer vulnerability, and this is where the principles of Trust, Truth and Well-Being should govern. As of 2004, the American Marketing Association (AMA) has adopted a “Statement of Ethical Norms and Values for Marketers” which states those and other principles. Similarly, the European Marketing Confederation (EMC) has issued a Code of Conduct. They might work, because both of them expel individuals who are found not to have abided by the code – a type of sanction which may be deemed to be severe. Again, in the US, severe punishment is much more drastic than in Europe when consumer fraud is taken to court: Applying the 1991 US Federal Sentencing Guidelines, Acme Corporation was sentenced by US court to pay a fine of four times the loss suffered by its customers who were systematically overcharged for damages occurred during the rent of automobiles.

A field where a Code of Conduct is successfully employed is market research. The Code of the Market Research Society (MRS), with members in more than 70 countries, is intended to reassure the general public and other interested parties that research is carried out in a professional and ethical manner. But here, again, we might find a transatlantic divide: When it comes to covert research, especially in engaged public observation where a researcher talks to people without revealing who she or he is, the US attitude towards privacy protection makes it more likely for this method to be applied. The method is deemed to be justifiable if it does not produce „unnecessary harm to subjects”.

A case that has made history is that of Toyota in its early US campaigns, when the company used its
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employees to make friends with potential buyers to learn about their attitudes and preferences.

Market research has received new inputs through web-based data collection: Some argue that the explosion in communications technologies has created an *ethical minefield* for individuals and companies. Surely the technology allows the individual to become “invisible” on the Web, or to misuse e-mail and Web-surfing or to illegally copy software, and there is the big question what corporations should do about these abuses all over the world (the answer would be a “matter of degree”). But when it comes to abuses produced by businesses, there is a clear divide on what is “material”: The EU Directive on Data Protection limits the secondary use of personal information collected from consumers to a very great extent. By contrast, the US is leaning towards industry self-regulation. Europe may pay a heavy fine for its obsession with privacy. Already severely behind in technology, further restrictions on the growth of the Internet may see technological companies bypass European venues.

The relation to competitors

There is a wide continuum between conflict and collaboration in competitive behavior. Marketing can be seen “as a game, as a war or a social practice,” and ethical issues in dealing with competitors can either relate to aggressiveness or to elimination of the competitive market. Overly aggressive competition will lead to questionable tactics in intelligence gathering, from the clearly illegal patterns of espionage to the more subtle ways of spying. Beyond the competitive intelligence issues, overly aggressive may apply “dirty tricks” that include negative advertising, stealing customers, predatory pricing and even sabotage. In the US, it was only recently that a case has surfaced which involved Coca-Cola employees who tried to sell the Coke formula to Pepsi Cola. But there were much more cases which became known in Europe: Allegations of industrial espionage were versed against Procter & Gamble in 2001. The company had hired private investigators to find out more about Unilever’s hair care business. Canal Plus, an encryption service company of the French media conglomerate Vivid, claimed that NDS, a UK-based technology firm 80 % owned by Rupert Murdoch’s News Corporation, had deliberately cracked their security technology, and then it had sent it to hackers in the USA.

Aggressive behavior would also encompass illegal copying of intellectual property rights (IPR). Again, the likelihood of a universal codification of IPR protection is poor (as was shown for privacy protection), because there are different traditions: In Continental Europe, the moral rights of authors are emphasized. The Anglo-American tradition emphasizes economic rights, and the Asian tradition considers copying as a matter of emulation of the master. Still, the WTO’s Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) is a very powerful instrument, but it refers to inter-governmental relations. At least it enables IPR-owners to take action: Microsoft recently reported a major Egyptian IT company to the local Department of Investigation and Protection of Intellectual Property Rights, a task force run by the Ministry of Interior. The company, which has its headquarters in Nasr City, has been working against the law by installing counterfeit Microsoft Windows and Office on the computers it sells.

The opposite of aggressive competition is collusion and cartels. And once more, it seems that the long history of Antitrust law in the US (the Sherman Antitrust Act came into force in 1890) prevents US managers from price fixing through multi-firm conduct and concerted action. US courts see multi-firm conduct to have an unambiguously negative effect and judge it more sternly, while the disposition in Europe may be termed to more repugnant to the abuse of a dominant position. E.g., the European Commission is incessantly investigating into Microsoft’s bundling of its Media Player software with Windows, while the claim that the big four mobile phone companies were overcharging consumers in the UK has not yet been taken up by the UK Competition Commission. And back in 1999, the world’s three biggest vitamin makers, German BASF, Swiss Roche and French Rhône-Poulenc were charged by the US Justice Department for engaging in a massive price-fixing conspiracy long before the European courts took up the matter.
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The supplier relation

Ethical issues in dealing with suppliers arise on the organizational level (misuse of market power, unfair treatment, unfair advantage) and on the individual level (giving and acceptance of gifts, bribes, hospitality and other inducements). With regard to the organizational, industry seems to move away from the traditional adversarial relationship with its vendors, due in part to the influence of Japanese business where partnership-based approaches prevail. But in retail, unfair treatment happens more often than not: In the highly competitive German foods market, the market leader ALDI earned a very negative reputation when it used its market power in 2007/8 to force milk-producers into accepting a heavy price decrease. Similarly, the British high street retailer Marks & Spencer suddenly dropped one of its long-term UK clothing suppliers, William Baird textiles group, in 1999, thereby ending a thirty-year business relationship. Baird had to close sixteen factories and lay off 4500 workers. Suppliers should legitimately be able to expect loyalty – the specific dealings will have to show what an obligation of loyalty really has to entail.

An issue which pertains to the purchase function but which entails the entire corporation is “Green Procurement”, i.e. the selection of products and services that minimize environmental impacts. Other than in Europe, where only a few industries like the chemical and textile businesses perform „sustainable purchase“, US buyers, at government institutions and private enterprises, have developed a reputable record in this field across all industries. An example is IBM, which has converted the need to comply with dozens of environmental regulations into a forward strategy. IBM’s „Green Strategy“ is proactive in limiting the materials it uses in its electronics, and from there it provides environmentally conscious products to its customers, „not from an altruistic standpoint but from a dollars and cents standpoint“, because the customers’ purchase agents prefer „green products“, too.

On the individual level, the topic is not just gifts, gratuities, bribes, kickbacks bungs, sweeteners etc., but also business-supplier negotiation. An ethical approach to negotiation should steer clear of tactics like puffery, weakening the opponent, non-disclosure, distraction and the like. This is not only because it is the right thing to do but also because such practices can incur costs for the negotiator (costs of rigidity, of lost opportunities, etc.). In all, unethical decision making in this context can very well be limited by limiting opportunities. Opportunities arise from conditions that either provide rewards for or fail to erect barriers against unethical behavior. Opportunity also comes from knowledge: Withholding information or lying to vendors (as well as to customers or employees) is the most common type of misconduct observed in the National Business Ethics Survey (NBES) conducted in the US.

One remedy lies with information economics: reporting on negotiations, tracing the access to and the use (and misuse) of data will provide solution, as will proper training and socializing newer employees to abide by the rules and norms and the culture of the organization.

The employee’s relation

As mentioned before, the participation of employees and their representatives in corporate decision-making is a much wider in Europe than in the US. Apart from the legal background, this is based on the European attitude of decision-making by consensus, and, therefore, employees’ rights and duties may be interpreted somewhat differently in the US and in Europe. While the basic rights to freedom from discrimination, to fair wages, to healthy and safe working conditions etc. will be applied similarly, the rights to privacy and to due process (promotion, firing, disciplinary proceedings) are more limited in the US as compared to Europe. This has a downside: Performance monitoring in German corporations often is viewed as “spying on the employees”, and one big retailer was recently taken to court on this matter (there was a recent case in German which involved retail giant LIDL). Likewise, co-determination on the board level mixes employee duties (complying with labor contract, respecting the employers’ property and values) with the duties, which a board member, who represents a trade union, feels towards this union. In consequence, the other board members might deem it necessary to find out if such an attitude provokes the breach of secrecy. Deutsche Telekom did not see another way out from this dilemma than “spying on employees”.
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Spying on employees was also what Boeing meant to be the ultimate resort in November 2007 after a whistleblower was charged with 16 counts of computer trespass for allegedly stealing 320,000 company files and giving some of them to the Seattle Times to document flaws in the company’s inspection process for one of its new planes. The company estimated that the stolen data could have cost the company between $5 billion and $15 billion if the information got into the wrong hands. Boeing set out to restore security to ferret out that type of “whistleblowers” by videotaping workers and reading their e-mail.

On the other end, when employees raise concerns about work-related issues that are not taken up adequately, they face a plight: Should they “loyally” accept the situation, or should they blow the whistle? The vast majority of whistleblowing cases are resolved within the chain of command and with the help of human resource management officers.

Going to the board or outside the company would only be the last step, but it must be looked at as a part of the system, because “democratic capitalism requires that people trust in the integrity of public and private institutions alike.”

**Government relations**

Government has a relation with business where both partners are mutually dependent on each other. For government, the main ethical issue here lies in the necessity of carrying out the mandate it has been given by society, and of course one aspect of this is its constraint and enabling of business. And business is entitled to rely on agreements and guarantees issued by governments, and especially by the preceding ones when governments change after an election. All lobbying has its source from that context. While in the US there are more person-to-person contacts (and hence more personal conflicts), the lobbying scenario in Europe is characterized by collective action through business affiliations and associations. Both ways might end up in people occupying a dual role in business and politics at the same time, and if handled professionally, that role could benefit both constituencies. All this is pretty much legal all across Europe and the US, although some of it may be in the gray area of business ethics. For that, NGOs and other watchdogs should be included in data sharing and reports. If these checks and balances are not in place, business will be lead to buy an influence on regulation, from “state capture” to outright corruption.

Again, there are many means to combat corruption, starting with the UN Convention against Corruption and reaching to national and private institutions like the UK Fraud Advisory Panel, the “Bribe Payers Index”, Transparency International etc., all supported by broad data interchange.

One subject that has many shades of “gray” is tax evasion. For the sake of conciseness, it will not be covered here.

**Leadership**

This comparison of how business conditions and values are generated spread and modified within different business environments would not be complete without some considerations on leadership. Can leadership produce values? Or better said, can it just secure that values are observed and adhered to when making decisions?

U.S. leaders are often reproached for being “ethnocentric” and biased to assume their value system is best. On the other hand, European leaders will often try to avoid uncertainties related with leadership change by “depersonalizing” management. They will establish a set of “substitute variables” such as high professional orientation, high coherence within teams, intrinsically satisfying tasks of leadership change by “depersonalizing” management. At the same time, the subordinates will set up their own “substitute variables.” As changes of leaders take place rather often on the international scene when expatriates are assigned and re-assigned to foreign subsidiaries, the international manager must learn how to cope with such “substitute variables”.

A topical study has shown that at least the functional flexibility is higher in U.S. management. Thus, U.S. managers might easier...
find effective solutions to (functional) conflicts in multicultural environments.90

Other recent research has ascertained that American leaders are not as individualistic as has often been suggested91. It has been shown that U.S. businesses are highly characterized by an egalitarian commitment92,93 even though they mostly have a command and control orientation with a top-down management approach. While this orientation may promote the view that employees may be used instrumentally towards the objective of the organization's executive to “create wealth,” it is the conceptualization of 'egalitarian commitment,' the widespread endeavors of cross-cultural training and an increasing U.S. engagement in transition economies which have modified and 'softened' American instrumentalism.

The positive picture of US leadership traits has been radically changed by the Enron catastrophe, coupled with the simultaneous scandals at Worldcom, Tyco, to name a few. This has served to radically change public and business expectations of corporate accountability and the ethics of the corporate leaders94,95,96. For many years the CEOs of the U.S. when compared to their European counterparts made dramatically more in compensation and earnings. Throughout the 1990's, U.S. CEOs' executive packages soared, and many executives won guarantees of big rewards whether or not their businesses succeeded. In the early part of this decade, European CEOs started to demand greater salaries and compensation packages. However, recent ethical scandals on both sides of the Atlantic as well as the financial crisis have lead to public outcry against CEO salaries. For example, the chief executive of a Standard & Poor's 500 company made, on average, $14.2 million in total compensation in 2007, according to preliminary data from The Corporate Library97. However, this type of executive compensation has become unacceptable as the public became aware of the large severance packages given to CEOs of companies at the center of the mortgage crisis.

Summary and Implications

Although there are organizational and philosophical differences in how U.S. and European CEO's regard governance and ethics, it is imperative that business leaders on both sides of the Atlantic adhere to a code of ethics, and be pragmatic in their management practices and decision-making, especially in light of the current challenges in our financial markets. Ethical issues in business require norms that may very well be based on rational arguments, but their specific content must be generated from real-life experiences rather than belief systems. This argumentation of Discourse Ethics, as we have seen from the example of Social Accounting standards turns out to be a powerful principle: It is all about stakeholder engagement, which is the core of all social standards. (“A norm is valid when its foreseeable consequences ... could be jointly accepted by all concerned without coercion”, and “Only those norms can claim validity that could meet with the acceptance of all concerned in practical discourse”.98 Thus, the dilemma of weighing ethics versus effectiveness on an individual level becomes resolved because it is moved up to a communal level where the criteria for decision-making are contributed through interaction. And with this we can very well find a pathway (not far from Adam Smith's, but probably the other way round) from "Homo Economicus" to "Homo Reciprocans" to "Homo Moralis"99. For the practitioner, this explains why "Good Ethics" means "Good Governance" and also "Good Cost Control" through minimizing risks, avoiding fines, court-imposed remedies and criminal charges, reducing operational costs by properly handling environmental and workplace issues, avoiding loss of business and enjoying a greater access to capital100. Business ethics is the challenge of ensuring that the enormous entrepreneurial energies released by today's free-market global economy end up by serving society and not destroying it.

Ethics, as stated by Kent Druyvesteyn, who was vice president for ethics at General Dynamics from 1985 to 1993 and one of the first ethics officers in an
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American company, is "about conduct, from moral awareness to moral judgment to ethical decision making, and not about philosophy". But many executives, today, for good reasons, are also embracing the main theoretical foundations as this enlightens awareness and judgment. One proof of this would be the highly positive acceptance and the wide spread of the English version of Peter Ulrich's book "Integrative Economic Ethics" in the US. Ulrich, the leading German-speaking writer on the subject (the book is in its fourth edition in the original German version), progresses through a series of rational and philosophical arguments to address foundational issues and moving business ethics into the realms of political theory and civic rationality. No business leader will be able to neglect Ulrich's argument that corporations exist to serve human demands, and that human demands are formed by society. So businesses will have to adopt a conduct to serve this principle.
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Noëmi Manders-Huits and Michael Zimmer:

Values and Pragmatic Action: The Challenges of Introducing Ethical Intelligence in Technical Design Communities

Abstract:

Various Value-Conscious Design frameworks have recently emerged to introduce moral and ethical intelligence into business and technical design contexts, with the goal of proactively influencing the design of technologies to account for moral and ethical values during the conception and design process. Two attempts to insert ethical intelligence into technical design communities to influence the design of technologies in ethical- and value-conscious ways are described, revealing discouraging results. Learning from these failed attempts, the article identifies three key challenges of pragmatic engagement with technical design communities: (1) confronting competing values; (2) identifying the role of the values advocate; and (3) the justification of a value framework. Addressing these challenges must become a priority if one is to be successful in pragmatically engaging with real-world business and design contexts to bring moral and ethical intelligence to bear in the design of emerging information and communication technologies.
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Advances in the power and ubiquity of information and communication technologies (ICT) has led to an explosion in new technological tools and services that have become the backbone of our contemporary information society. The inclusion of companies like Microsoft, Google, Apple, and Amazon in the S&P 500 reveal the importance of ICT to our global economy. Success in this competitive business environment often comes to the ICT developer with the best business intelligence, most-skilled designers, and fastest deployment. Yet, the rapid speed of technological evolution and adoption often leaves little time for designers, managers, and other major stakeholders to anticipate and absorb the moral and ethical dimension of the ICTs they deploy. Lacking the time for lengthy reflection, technology companies are often forced to make hurried engineering and design choices that carry moral and ethical consequences.

While concern over the moral and ethical consequences of our modern technological era has existed for some time (see, for example, Friedman, 1997; Johnson & Nissenbaum, 1995; Moor, 1985; Nissenbaum, 2001; Shrader-Frechette & Westra, 1997), recent focus has been placed on how to develop pragmatic frameworks ensure that particular attention to moral and ethical values becomes an integral part of the conception, design, and development of ICT. These include innovative proposals such as Design for Values (Camp, n.d.), Values at Play (Flanagan, Howe, & Nissenbaum, 2005; Flanagan, Howe, & Nissenbaum, 2008), and Value Sensitive Design (Friedman, 1999; Friedman, Kahn, & Born- ing, 2002). Each of these frameworks — which we will refer to collectively as Value-Conscious Design — seek to broaden the criteria for judging the quality of technological systems to include the advancement of moral and human values, and to proactively influence the design of technologies to account for such values during the conception and design process. In other words, the goal of Value-Conscious Design is to bring moral and ethical intelligence into conversation with existing business and technical intelligence to inform and guide the design of emerging information and communication technologies.

We, the authors, have been involved in two separate attempts to engage with business and technical design communities to influence the design of emerging technological systems in ethical- and value-conscious ways. Unfortunately, the results were discouraging. Drawing from our interventions with business and technical design communities, this article will identify three key challenges of pragmatic engagement with technical design communities: (1) confronting competing values; (2) identifying the role of the values advocate; and (3) the justification of a value framework. Addressing these challenges must become a priority if one is to be successful in pragmatically engaging with real-world business and design contexts to bring moral and ethical intelligence to bear in the design of emerging information and communication technologies.103

Implementing Value-Conscious Design: Successes and Disappointments

The researchers who have championed the various Value-Conscious Design frameworks have enjoyed success in bringing moral and ethical intelligence to bear in the design of technologies, including the development of web browser cookie management tools in support of the values of informed consent and user privacy (Friedman, Howe, & Felten, 2002), the embedding the value of trust in web browser tools to protect Internet users from consumer fraud and identity theft (Camp, 2006; Camp, Friedman, & Genkina, n.d.), the construction of a computer game environment for teaching middle-school girls programming skills to help counter gender inequity in math and computer science while also embodying values such as cooperation, creativity, privacy and

103 This article was inspired by the Values In Design Graduate Student Workshop held at Santa Clara University in August 2005. The authors would like to thank Geoffrey Bowker, Helen Nissenbaum, and the other workshop participants for stimulating the discussions that prompted the writing of this article. The authors also thank the faculty and students at the Department of Philosophy and the History of Technology at the Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, the Center for Philosophy of Technology and Engineering Science at the University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands, the Philosophy Section at the Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, as well as the attendees of the 2007 Computer Ethics: Philosophical Enquiry conference for their valuable feedback. Particular thanks to Helen Nissenbaum and Sabine Roesser for their thoughtful comments on earlier drafts of this article. This research was sponsored, in part, by an NSF Dissertation Grant (SES-0620772) and a travel grant provided by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO, R 22-403).
values and ethical intelligence into their respective design spheres. Zimmer sought to ensure that the value of privacy became a constitutive part of the technological design of emerging networked vehicle safety communication (VSC) technologies in the United States, while Manders-Huits was part of a team of academics specializing in ethics of information technology who participated in a project to create a User Profiling Infrastructure in The Netherlands.

Vehicle Safety Communication Technology

Recent advances in wireless technologies have led to the development of intelligent, in-vehicle safety applications designed to share information about the actions of nearby vehicles, potential road hazards, and ultimately predict dangerous scenarios or imminent collisions. These vehicle safety communication (VSC) technologies rely on the creation of autonomous, self-organizing, wireless communication networks connecting vehicles with roadside infrastructure and with each other. In these networks, both vehicles and infrastructure collect local data from their immediate surroundings, process this information and exchange it with other networked vehicles to provide real-time safety information about the immediate surroundings. Data messages, which are automatically and continuously transmitted from the vehicle 10 times per second, potentially include the vehicle’s location, time and date stamps, vehicle speed & telemetry data, and a vehicle identifier. To help facilitate the development and implementation of VSC applications, seven major auto manufacturers, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, have formed a joint research program called the Vehicle Safety Communications Consortium (VSCC), with deployment of VSC technologies forecasted to occur between 2010 and 2016.104

Coupled with the predicted safety benefits of VSC applications, however, is a potential rise in the ability to surveil a driver engaging in her everyday activities on the roads and highways. VSC technologies potentially enable the collection of information on where drivers go, when they made their trips, and what routes they used. They represent a shift from drivers sharing only general and visually-observable information to the widespread and constant broadcasting of precise, digital information about their daily activities (Zimmer, 2005). The potential integration of VSC technologies into our daily activities on the public roads threatens to foster a scenario where we might be “driving into the panopticon” (Reiman, 1995) of widespread surveillance, and the potential erosion of the values of privacy and autonomy as we drive along the roads.

Since VSC technologies and their related technical protocols and communication standards are still in the developmental stage, Zimmer, with support from the PORTIA project,105 took the opportunity to apply Value-Conscious Design principles in an attempt to influence the design of VSC technologies so that the value of privacy would become a constitutive part of the design process. Zimmer interacted with VSC project managers and engineers, was given access to technical white papers, and distributed his findings regarding the potential privacy and surveillance threats of VSC technologies. Unfortunately, the results of his engagement with the VSC design community were discouraging. Zimmer’s efforts were met with skepticism: some designers viewed that the security gained through data encryption as a sufficient means of protecting user privacy, while others disregarded the threat to privacy altogether. Some potential privacy-protecting encryption solutions (see, for example, Boneh, Boyen, & Shacham, 2004) were rejected, apparently due to concerns over efficiency and costs.

---

104 For more information see U.S. Department of Transportation (2005), and Vehicle Safety Communications Consortium.

105 The PORTIA project is a five-year, multi-institutional, multi-disciplinary, multi-modal investigation funded by the National Science Foundation in the Information Technology Research (ITR) program. For more information, see http://crypto.stanford.edu/portia/.
outweighing the privacy protections gained. Opportunities to engage further with the design community to address user privacy were hindered, as scheduled “privacy workshops” were cancelled by working groups, while changing personnel and legal concerns stymied sustained collaboration with more sympathetic designers.

In total, while the introduction of new moral and ethical intelligence into the design sphere for VSC technologies brought some increased awareness of the related privacy concerns, full and direct engagement with this design community to achieve the Value-Conscious Design of these emerging technologies remained limited.

**User Profiling Infrastructures**

Online organizations are increasingly collecting data on users browsing and purchasing habits in order to create detailed user profiles, providing the tools to predict user behavior and provide personalized products and services. For example, online bookstores track and collect information about users’ browsing and purchase history on their sites, resulting in the creation of detailed user profiles which allow the site to provide personalized purchase recommendations. Alongside this growing reliance on user profiles is the desire to be able to build, share and transfer profiles across various systems and platforms – the creation of a widespread User Profiling Infrastructure. For example, the user profile created on the online bookstore could also be shared with a different organization, like a movie rental company, to help predict what kind of movies the customer might want to watch. Similarly, a third party might be able to use a user Profiling Infrastructure to collect information on users’ actions across various systems, such as a law enforcement agency monitoring purchasing habits across various websites in order to predict illegal activity.

While potentially useful, the cross-domain user profiling enabled by a User Profiling Infrastructure is fraught with value and ethical considerations, such as trust, informed consent, privacy and control over the flow of one’s personal information. Recognizing the importance of addressing these value and ethical concerns, a team of academics specializing in ethics of information technology was invited to join the technical design team to help inform the development of such an architecture. As part of this team, Manders-Huits participated in design meetings and discussions, did research in relevant ethical literature, and identified critical points for intervention to ensure that the User Profiling Infrastructure under consideration protect user privacy and other ethical concerns. Overall, there was a concerted effort to introduce moral and ethical intelligence into the business and technical design environment in order to engage in the Value-Conscious Design of this emerging technical system.

This effort turned out to be more challenging than expected. While the technical designers were confronted with the challenges of the novel research field of ethics and technology, the ethicists found it equally challenging to apply ethical principles to the novelty and uncertainty of a still-emerging technical infrastructure. It was especially difficult to properly explicate and translate ethical considerations to workable requirements and specifications for the other project participants actually building the system. In other words, it proved difficult to operationalize the values so they could be put into the technical design. More discouraging, however, was the insertion of a new project management team who didn’t share the same commitment to value-conscious design or an appreciation for the demands such efforts bring to the design process. When it came time to speed up the progress of the overall project, eliminating (what were perceived as) the complex and time-consuming ethical concerns seemed the easiest route to get the project back on schedule. As a result, the introduction of moral and ethical intelligence was ultimately rejected.

**Summary**

While some of the original framers of the Value-Conscious Design (VCD) frameworks have enjoyed success in designing technologies that sustain moral and ethical values, the attempts by Manders-Huits and Zimmer were disappointing and failed to achieve the desired results. One key difference between the successful introduction of moral and ethical intelligence into business and technical design contexts by the original framers of VCD, and the less-successful efforts by the authors, is the particular sites of engagement. The successful applications of Value-Conscious Design principles noted above share a common characteristic of being situated in the relatively non-hostile design environment of the academic laboratory. Each project’s design team was, by and large, comprised entirely of academics committed to the goal of achieving

---

106 For more information, see https://doc.telin.nl/dsclg/ds.py/Get/File-52040/TUD_sotos.pdf
Value-Conscious Design, creating a design context quite welcoming of each projects’ goals.

In contrast, Manders-Huits and Zimmer attempted to take the principles of Value-Conscious Design outside the laboratory and engage directly with designers of emerging technical systems in their native environments, revealing unique challenges that must be addressed in order to successfully introduce moral and ethical intelligence into “real-world” business and technical design environments outside the walls of academia.

Key Challenges of Value-Conscious Design

The unsatisfactory attempts to engage in Value-Conscious Design detailed above illuminate three key challenges that must be addressed before these pragmatic frameworks can be fully and successfully deployed in design contexts outside the academic laboratory: (1) the justification of a value framework, (2) identifying the role of a values advocate, and (3) confronting competing values. Attention to each of these challenges is critical to ensure moral and ethical intelligence can enter into business and technical design environments.

Justification of Value Framework

It is important to identify whose moral framework we are considering in each specific design setting. The challenge is to identify the extent or limits of the design community: Is it composed only of the actual technology designers, e.g. the engineers, or including contractors, managers, companies, potential customers, etc.? In other words, ‘whose’ moral framework are we to study? After the identification of the design community and their values – as far as these are tangible – an important step can be taken with respect to value choices in the design process.

The aim of the specific value conscious design project also needs to be framed in terms of the normative stance one wishes to take. One might prefer an ‘organic, emergent’ moral framework to justify the value choices to be encountered, or have a more specific and predetermined moral framework in mind, driven by a specific normative outlook. Either way the starting point for moral evaluation needs to be clarified so that it is clear what is to be expected with regard to the value commitments of the technology. Accordingly, the design process of the particular technology can be structured accord-
Role of the Values Advocate

It is the challenge for the values advocate to support all value choices with the necessary normative justification. The acceptance of the values advocate in the design team as holder of particular expertise is dependent on the perception that the value choices and positions held by the advocate are rationally and theoretically justified. We think all choices in the design process have moral import. It is the task of the values advocate to make these as explicit as possible. In some cases the values advocate may also need to justify his or her own presence in the design team, and why designers, or anyone for that matter, should be concerned with values of moral import in the first place.

Further, we envision an array of roles that the values advocate can take within a particular design context: the authoritarian role, the supporting role or the leadership role. In the first role, the values advocate is regarded as authoritative in the ethical and value considerations at hand, implementing value-conscious decisions using a top-down strategy. Such a role is often confrontational, where pressure is exerted (both internally and externally) to ensure proper attention to values. A second role, the supporting role, is a comparatively passive accompaniment of the design process, raising awareness at moments where value choices are being made and pointing to possible alternatives without advocating the one or the other. An advocate in this role is often merely advisory, and might have little more than token input on design decisions. Finally, the leadership role enables the values advocate to be both supporting but also directing when it comes to value choices. By providing insight into the complexity and delicacy of value choices basing on theoretical knowledge as well as acquired practical expertise, the values advocate in her role as leader is able to educate the other members of the design team (and possibly other stakeholders) and to strongly promote certain choices over others where necessary. We argue the leadership role to be the preferred positioning of the values advocate within a design team, taking into account shared responsibility for value choices (whereas the first example of an authoritative role might be too heavy-handed) and a proactive stance of the values advocate (whereas the example of a supporting role might be too passive).

Confronting Competing Values

Perhaps the most apparent challenge of engaging in Value-Conscious Design outside the academic laboratory is the inevitability of confronting competing values within varied design contexts. Rather than benefiting from working within the academic sphere committed to the primacy of designing for moral values, design contexts outside academia often include stakeholders whose goals might come into conflict with the protection of these values.

For example, Howe and Nissenbaum’s TrackMeNot web browser extension was developed using Mozilla’s open-source browser application framework and posted to a website for download by the user and development community. As an academic project, little concern was necessary for production or distribution costs, advertising, profits, or other factors typically in play in commercial software development contexts. In contrast, the attempts at pragmatic engagement in design contexts outside academia by Manders-Huists and Zimmer exposed how the pressures of the marketplace – with its focus on instrumental values of efficiency, productivity and profitability – might result in hostility to the privileging of moral values in the design process. As Agre and Harbs (1994) warn, standard-setting processes often “embed a wide variety of political agendas” and the process of developing those standards will be “contested along a variety of fronts by various parties” (p. 84). The same can be said for technical design overall, and engaging in Value-Conscious Design in real-world design contexts will require the ability to negotiate such instances of conflicting values.\(^\text{107}\)

We claim that, for a fully engaged and pragmatic application of Value Conscious Design to be successful, it must ensure that values are not only discovered and clarified, but also consciously and deliberately built into design, even if such embedding of values conflicts with other design objectives. This questions the supremacy of some values over others (hierarchy of values) and the way value choices in design are dealt with in light of supporting value systems or political/ethical convictions. While some embrace a more cultural constructivist approach where values are taken as perceived by the majority of the people, we take a more normative position. Here, ethics provides (other) grounds for identifying values and arguing for the enclosure or the super-

\(^{107}\) To their credit, Flanagan and her colleagues make it clear in their discussion of the RAPUNSEL project that such projects, when pursued purely within academic contexts, fail to “address all factors that, for example, a commercial project would be obliged to address” (Flanagan et al., 2008).
macy of certain values over others, possibly against the (uncritical) majority opinion. We argue that, for morality to be designed into technical systems and institutions, value choices should be based on well-considered ethical judgments, coherent with our attitude towards how we think the world is best served and structured from a moral perspective. This involves a critical attitude towards certain aims of research and design that are often taken for granted, such as maximum efficiency, maximum profitability, and so on.

Conclusion

We have identified three key challenges that must be addressed if scholars committed to Value-Conscious Design are to be successful in pragmatically engaging within business and technical design communities to introduce moral and ethical intelligence into the decision-making matrix. First, we confront the broad philosophical challenge of providing a sufficient justification of the value and moral framework we embrace when engaging in value-conscious design. Second, the role of the values advocate must be both clearly defined and justified to fulfill its role as an essential component of technical design teams. Finally, we must find strategies to successfully resolve the inevitable value conflicts when engaging with design communities outside of academia.

Our goal with this article is not to discredit the accomplishments of the existing Value-Conscious Design efforts, but merely to show that pragmatic challenges remain. Moreover, like many academic probes, this article presents more questions than answers. By bringing focus to these challenges, however, we hope to spark new critical reflection within the Value-Conscious Design community (within which we include ourselves) of how to best engage with real-world design communities to introduce moral and ethical intelligence into business and design contexts. Addressing these challenges will increase the chances for success of future pragmatic engagements with design communities to proactively influence the design of emerging technologies to take account of ethical and human values.
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Introduction

In keeping with the theme of this special issue of IRIE, “business intelligence meets moral intelligence”, the paper will seek to demonstrate that with regard to the dissemination of information by the media, its “business intelligence” must be congruent with “moral intelligence” and when in conflict moral intelligence on the basis of universal moral principles that the media itself is committed to, must always take precedent over the media’s own business intelligence. The communication of information to the public by the media, offline and online, even if conceived merely as another market commodity, commits the media to ethical conduct irrespective of any other commercial interests that may come into conflict with the media’s ethical commitments to the public. In summary, this is for at least three reasons:

(1) Information as communication has a dual inherent normative structure that of necessity commits its communication by all informational agents, including the media especially, to ethical conduct that cannot be avoided or overridden by any other conflicting self-interest, including commercial interests.

(2) With regard to the dissemination of information in the form of news, the media, and especially the media in the form of journalism, is committed moreover, by its own internal professional ethical code, to the fundamental principle that the public has a right to know and be informed on matters of public interest truthfully, in an unbiased, balanced and fair manner.

(3) Even if information in the form of communication is construed as a marketable commodity subject to the same commercial exploitation as other marketable commodities, the media perceived merely as a commercial enterprise is also bound to ethical conduct. For consider: if information is conceived merely as a marketable commodity, then media-markets have a similar responsibility as the food industry or the pharmaceutical industry, for example; namely, that the production and delivery of those products, generally perceived as public goods (food, medicines, information), are what they claim to be (their description is true or truthful – for example, meat is real meat and not some “meat-substitute” designed to look like real meat in order to mislead or deceive the consumers). Hence, those public goods are fit for human consumption and meet normative standards both in their production and distribution. Those standards, in turn, are regulated both within and outside those industries for the ultimate protection and good of society. We can, therefore, ask no less of the media, even if we perceive it purely as a commercial enterprise.

In relation to the potential conflict of roles within the media as both public informers and commercial enterprises, the paper will also briefly analyse the specific roles of the different types of the media - in particular, journalism and public relations - in order to show how those roles are epistemologically and ethically inconsistent with each other and moreover how the convergence of those inconsistent media roles is conducive to media corruption – that is, the corruption of information communicated to the public. Given financial cut-backs within media organisations, the corporate media increasingly relies on media releases produced by Public Relations practitioners to communicate information to the public. In view of this practice, can the Fourth Estate be trusted to tell people the truth all the time or even some of the time? Should the public adopt a more sceptical attitude towards the media in view of their commercial interests which are not always congruent with their role as information providers?

108 The public's right to know or the public's right to be informed on matters of public interest is a fundamental principle of journalism enshrined in practically all journalistic ethical codes around the world. It is in fact, what gives the media in the form of journalism, its special status as the 4th Estate, a role that allows them “freedom of the press” and other special privileges not shared or allowed to other commercial enterprises, even to the government that has limited powers to what information it can lawfully access and disseminate on matters concerning its citizens. This places the media, at least in the form of journalism, in a special privileged position in the access and dissemination of information to the public. It is a privilege which can be of great benefit to society as the exposure of the Watergate scandal by the Washington Post’s investigative journalists demonstrates, and of great harm when that privilege is abused as in typical cases of media corruption that will be outlined below.
The Dual-Obligation Information Theory (DOIT)

The dual-obligation information theory (DOIT) model comprises two main parts that together seek to demonstrate that information is doubly normative (Spence 2007a):

(A) Information has an inherent normative structure that commits its producers, communicators and users, everyone in fact that deals with information, to certain mandatory epistemological and ethical commitments;

(B) The negligent or purposeful abuse of information in violation of the epistemological and ethical commitments to which its normative inherent structure gives rise is also a violation of universal rights – specifically, universal rights to freedom and wellbeing to which all agents are entitled by virtue of being agents, and in particular informational agents.

Hence, the abuse of information through, for example, misinformation practices, constitutes (a) a violation of the epistemological and ethical commitments to which the inherent normative structure of information gives rise and (b) a violation of universal rights to which all agents and specifically informational agents are entitled.

Echoing Umberto Eco’s claim in The Open Work (1989, 66) that with regard to human beings information theory becomes communication theory - the paper will show that the demonstrated dual normative structure of information in terms of its own inherent normative structure, as well as the universal rights of informational agents to which it gives rise, confirms and supports Eco’s claim.

The Normative Structure of Information

In providing the dual normative model for the evaluation of information outlined above, the paper will employ an epistemological account of information based on a minimal *nuclear* definition of information. Following Luciano Floridi it will define *information* as “well formed meaningful data that is truthful” (2005) and following Fred Dreske it will define information as “an objective commodity capable of yielding knowledge”; knowledge in turn, defined as “information caused belief” (1999, 44-45 and 86).

What is necessary for both information and knowledge is truth. For information without truth is not strictly speaking information but either misinformation (the unintentional dissemination of well-formed and meaningful false data) or disinformation (the intentional dissemination of false “information”). Of course, journalists, for example, both offline and online cannot always know with certainty whether the information they disseminate is true or not. However, in such cases, they should at least have a reasonable justified belief, responsive to at least some minimal objective verification capable of sustaining that belief that the information they disseminate is probably if not certainly true. One could make the case, for example, that the dissemination of “information” by journalists concerning the claim that Iraq possessed weapons of mass destruction before the start of the war in Iraq was not based on a reasonable justified belief capable of yielding knowledge. Insofar as this was the case, the dissemination of such “information” was misinformation at best, disinformation at worst.

How about, however, media reports that merely stated the US government’s claims that Iraq had weapons of mass destruction? What is the *informational* status of such media reports, given that information must be true or at least truthful? Insofar as those reports were true (the US government did in fact make those claims as reported by the media) then those media reports qualify as information because true, notwithstanding that the claims themselves were untrue or not known to be true. For the truth that renders those reports informational concerns and relates to what the US government claimed and not the truth about the claims themselves. Generally, media reports of the form (Z) = “X claimed Y” would qualify as information so long as it is true that “X claimed Y” even when “Y” is untrue. For the *truth-maker* that renders the statement “X claimed Y” as information refers to only what “X claimed about Y” and not to whether “Y” itself is true or false. For the report “Z” only commits itself to the truth of “X claimed Y” and not to the truth of “Y”. To see this more clearly, take the statement “X claimed Y although Y is untrue”. This statement is perfectly consistent with both it being true that “X claimed Y” (information) but “Y”, contrary to the facts, being false (misinformation). This is because the report “Z” that qualifies as true information concerns only “what X said” and not about whether “what X said is true or false”. Of course the media, and specifically investigative journalists, have an additional ethical responsibility to enquire into and inform the public, as a matter of public interest, whether in fact what “X claimed...
about Y” was true or false. That is, investigative journalist should not only be concerned about what “X said about Y” but also be concerned about “whether Y is true” and report on the truth or falsity of “Y” accordingly.

Finally, on another related topic, media “news”, insofar as it is true or truthful, can also be construed and defined as a type of new information. So, for example, the statement “Israel invades Gaza” would have qualified as “news” in the form of “new information” when it was reported in newspapers around the world several weeks ago. However, although now it still qualifies as information - because as a matter of reported fact Israel did invade Gaza some weeks ago - it no longer qualifies as “news” as it is now at present archival “old information” and not “new information”.

Using the minimal account of information described above, the paper will now develop a normative account of information, which demonstrates and describes the generic epistemological and ethical commitments that necessarily arise in the dissemination of information.

Briefly, the argument is as follows: Insofar as information is a type of knowledge (it must be capable of yielding knowledge, one must be able to learn from it) it must comply with the epistemological conditions of knowledge, specifically, that of truth. And insofar as the dissemination of information is based on the justified and rightful expectation among its disseminators and especially its users that such information should meet the minimal condition of truth, then the disseminators of information are committed to certain widely recognized and accepted epistemological criteria. Those epistemic criteria will in the main comprise objectivity as well as the independence, reliability, accuracy and trustworthiness of the sources that generate the information. The epistemology of information, in turn, commits its disseminators to certain ethical principles and values, such as honesty, sincerity, truthfulness, trustworthiness and reliability (also epistemological values), and fairness, including justice, which requires the equal distribution of the informational goods to all citizens. Thus in terms of its dissemination, information, as a process and product of communication, has an intrinsic normative structure that commits everyone involved in its creation, production, search, communication and consumption to epistemological and ethical norms and these norms being intrinsic to the normative structure of information are rationally unavoidable and thus not merely optional.

The Rights of Informational Agents based on Alan Gewirth’s Argument for the Principle of Generic Consistency

Gewirth’s main thesis is that every rational agent, in virtue of engaging in action, is logically committed to accept a supreme moral principle, the Principle of Generic Consistency (PGC). The basis of his thesis is found in his doctrine that action has an inherent normative structure, and because of this structure every rational agent, just in virtue of being an agent, is committed to certain necessary prudential and moral constraints.

Gewirth undertakes to prove his claim that every agent, qua agent, is committed to certain prudential and moral constraints in virtue of the inherently normative structure of action in three main stages. First, he undertakes to show that by virtue of engaging in voluntary and purposive action, every agent makes certain implicitly evaluative judgments about the goodness of his purposes, and hence about the necessary goodness of his freedom and

109 I would like to acknowledge my thanks and gratitude to the reviewers of this paper for bringing these additional matters and their relevance concerning the truth condition of information to my attention.

110 This qualifying phrase is used to emphasize that it is only as a process and product of communication that information becomes inherently normative due to the reasonable and rightful expectations that informational agents have with regard to the truthful communication and dissemination of information. That is, we reasonably and rightfully expect and trust that we won’t be lied to or misinformed when engaging in informational-communication practices with others.

111 A full and detailed analysis and defense of the argument for the PGC against all the major objections raised against it by various philosophers can be found in Spence 2006 (Chapters 1 to 3), Derryck Beyleveld (1991) and Alan Gewirth (1978).

112 Understand “rational agent” to mean any putative agent who is minimally capable of inductive and deductive reasoning of the most basic and minimal kind including instrumental reasoning or “means-end reasoning”.
wellbeing, which are the necessary conditions for the fulfillment of his purposes. Secondly, he undertakes to show that by virtue of the necessary goodness which an agent attaches to his freedom and wellbeing, the agent implicitly claims that he has rights to these. At this stage of the argument, these rights being merely self-regarding are only prudential rights.

Thirdly, Gewirth undertakes to show that every agent must claim these rights in virtue of the sufficient reason that he is a prospective purposive agent (PPA) who has purposes he wants to fulfill. Furthermore, every agent must accept that, since he has rights to his freedom and wellbeing for the sufficient reason that he is a PPA, he is logically committed, on pain of self-contradiction, to also accept the rational generalization that all PPAs have rights to freedom and wellbeing (Gewirth 1978, 48-128). At this third stage of the argument these rights being not only self-regarding but also other-regarding, are moral rights. The conclusion of Gewirth’s argument for the PGC is in fact a generalized statement for the PGC, namely, that all PPAs have universal rights to their freedom and wellbeing.

Applying the PGC to information, we can now make the further argument that information must not be disseminated in ways that violate informational agents’ rights to freedom and wellbeing, individually or collectively, (Negative Rights). Moreover, information must as far as possible be disseminated in ways that secure and promote the informational agents’ rights to freedom and wellbeing (Positive Rights). Conceived as the Fourth Estate, this places a significant and important responsibility on the media, especially journalists, both offline and online, in their role as disseminators of information to the public.

For example, certain media practices such as media release journalism (P. Simmons and E. Spence 2006, 167-181), misleadingly and deceptively disseminate media release information produced by Public Relations professionals as objective and independent information though print or broadcast media sources (newspapers, television and radio) as “news”. This occurs without any disclosure that these so-called “news stories” are sourced from media releases produced by PR professionals on behalf of their clients, often verbatim and sometimes with the journalists’ bylines attached to them. Practices such as media release journalism are therefore ethically objectionable because they are designed to deceive and do deceive the public by stealth, sometimes in collusion with journalists and government representatives. Moreover, these practices constitute media corruption for they are conducive to the corruption of the informational processes and products that are essential for informing citizens on matters of public interest in an objective, truthful and fair manner.

Constrained by space as well as being beyond the scope of this short paper I cannot discuss media corruption in any detail. Suffice to say, media corruption occurs primarily because matters of “business intelligence” at both the individual level of media practitioners as well as at the institutional level of the professional practices of the media organizations themselves are allowed by omission or commission to override matters of “moral intelligence”. As we saw above in section (2) matters of moral intelligence with regard to the communication of information by the media must of necessity, both with regard to principle and practice, always override matters of business intelligence when the two come into conflict. Even if we are to construe information purely as a marketable commodity, the media and the market, in principle if not always in practice, can ethically co-exist as in the case of other commercial enterprises that distribute public goods to consumers, such as the food and pharmaceutical industries. Things go ethically wrong, however, when conflicting interests operating within the media become conducive to media corruption, such as the example of media release journalism outlined above. For a detailed analysis of a taxonomy of

---

media corruption that outlines and examines the different types of media corruption see (Spence in press 2008).

Such media corrupt practices, which once appeared only in the old corporate media (newspapers, television and radio), have increasingly become more prevalent on the Internet, for example, in blogs. Media deception is demonstrably unethical on the basis of the PGC because it can actually or potentially at least violate the rights to freedom and wellbeing that people have generally as agents and specifically, as citizens that require accurate, reliable and trustworthy information on matters of public interest. More generally, media deception through collusion by PR professionals, journalists and government representatives, violate all citizens’ rights to freedom and wellbeing collectively by undermining the democratic process itself that requires the truthful, fair and objective production and dissemination of information on matters of public interest. It is partly for that reason that media control is sought and exercised by totalitarian regimes, such as those in China and Iran for example, that do not want their citizens to be well informed.

In discussing the link between business intelligence and moral intelligence with regard to information I have specifically focused on the corporate media because it offers a uniquely paradigmatic case of the conceptual and practical convergence between “business intelligence” in the media’s role as a type of a commercial market enterprise on the one hand, and “moral intelligence” in its formal role as a professional body of disseminators of public information to citizens and consumers, on the other. However, insofar as information as a process and product of communication necessitates unavoidable epistemological and ethical commitment as demonstrated by the dual-obligation information theory (DOIT) model argued for in this paper, those same commitments apply to all informational agents both inside and outside the media. In particular, it should be emphasized that all informational agents in their functional role within companies (and not just media companies) as well as in their functional role within the market economy generally (for example, for gaining and disseminating information about competitors and products, amongst other things) are constrained by the same epistemological and ethical principles to which the media are committed. This consideration also reveals and renders apparent the important underlying link between media and business intelligence, as most large corporate companies these days have their own internal media departments for disseminating information to the public so as to protect and promote their business interests. The widely reported IT fraud in India recently concerning the outsourcing corporation Satyam that has been accused of inflating its profitability status by falsifying its accounts and financial statements, illustrates how terribly things can go wrong both morally and commercially when information is abused and misused to misinform the public, the Stock Exchange, investors, share-holders and clients. This goes to show that when it comes to information, truth is the best policy, both with regard to business intelligence as well as moral intelligence.

Conclusion

DOIT has provided a meta-conceptual framework comprising two inter-related parts that together demonstrate the epistemological and ethical universal character of information. Together these two inter-related parts have demonstrated the doubly-normative structure of information; (a) by disclosing the inherently normative structure of information and revealing the epistemological and ethical principles and values inherent in information as a process of communication to which all informational agents are universally committed (Spence 2009 in press; and 2007a); and (b) by disclosing the universal rights (freedom and wellbeing) to which all informational agents are entitled by virtue of the inherent normative structure of action (Spence 2006; Gewirth 1978; 1996; and Beyleved 1991). Hence, together these two inter-related parts of DOIT have demonstrated the doubly-normative structure of information, to which all informational agents, including the media are committed by universal necessity. Thus, information as communication can generally be epistemologically and ethically evaluated internally by reference to its inherent normative structure. That structure commits its disseminators, to ethical and epistemological norms. This is especially

114 I would like to acknowledge my thanks to the reviewers and editors of this paper for pointing out to me the more general application and relevance of my argument to the business intelligence of companies generally.

true of the media and its professional communicators such as Journalists and PR Consultants, for example, on-line and off-line.

In addition, the ethical values to which the inherent normative structure of information as communication gives rise requires that the informational agents’ rights to freedom and wellbeing must be respected, secured and promoted. Those values are mandated by the Principle of Generic Consistency (PGC) and therefore information can also be externally evaluated by reference to the PGC. In sum, informational action as both information and action is doubly normative.

In view of this, the media (including the new media) as the primary producer and disseminator of information to the public through all the different modes and channels of media communication, including journalism, public relations and advertising, offline and online, has a particularly central and crucial moral role in the dissemination of information to the public. This places upon it the highest moral responsibility in ensuring that the information it produces and disseminates accords with the highest epistemological and ethical standards as outlined in this paper, notwithstanding that the media, as media-markets, operating within a free-market economy, is also unavoidably and perhaps desirably, a commercial enterprise. However, as we would not settle for less stringent norms of professional conduct from other commercial industries such as those of the food and pharmaceutical production and distribution industries, we should not be expected to settle for less when it comes to the media. Information is a vital commodity whose integrity is paramount to the wellbeing of individuals and society. The media’s role in safeguarding the integrity of information is therefore of the utmost importance in the age of information. Hence, with regard to the dissemination of information by the media, its business intelligence must always be guided and accord to moral intelligence that of universal necessity is mandated by the dual inherent normative structure of information. Moreover, as discussed above, the same epistemological and ethical constraints apply to all informational agents, both within and outside the media, and in particular informational agents whose role within companies of all types and sizes is critical with regard to both the business intelligence as well as the moral intelligence of the information gathered and disseminated by them to the public, their competitors and to all other relevant stake-holders.
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