

Tassilo Pellegrini

Co-Production on the Web: Social Software as a Means of Collaborative Value Creation in Web-based Infrastructures

Abstract:

The concept of co-production was originally introduced by political science to explain citizen participation in the provision of public goods. The concept was quickly adopted in business research targeting the question how users could be voluntarily integrated into industrial production settings to improve the development of goods and services on an honorary basis. With the emergence of the Social Software and web-based collaborative infrastructures the concept of co-production gains importance as a theoretical framework for the collaborative production of web content and services. This article argues that co-production is a powerful concept, which helps to explain the emergence of user generated content and the partial transformation of orthodox business models in the content industries. Applying the concept of co-production to developmental policies could help to theorize and derive new models of including underprivileged user groups and communities in collaborative value creation on the web for the mutual benefit of service providers and users.

Agenda

| | |
|---|---|
| Introduction | 2 |
| Web collaboration as a means of co-production | 2 |
| Foundations of UGC | 3 |
| Examples: Collaborative Tagging in ESP, Peekaboom and Phetch | 3 |
| ESP Game | 4 |
| Peekaboom..... | 4 |
| Phetch..... | 4 |
| Remuneration Models in Collaborative Environments | 4 |
| Virtual Currencies | 4 |
| Bonus Systems for Collaborative Content Production – A Scenario | 5 |
| Conclusion | 5 |

Author:

Tassilo Pellegrini:

- Semantic Web School – Centre for Knowledge Transfer, Lerchenfeldergürtel 43, 1160 Vienna, Austria
- ☎ + 43 - 1 – 4021235-28 , ✉ t.pellegrini@semantic-web.at, 🌐 www.semantic-web.at
- Relevant publications:
 - Networked Knowledge – Networked Media. Integrating Knowledge Management, New Media Technologies and Semantic Systems. Schaffert, Sebastian; Tochtermann, Klaus; Pellegrini, Tassilo (Eds.). New York: Springer Verlag 2008
 - Social Semantic Web. The Convergence of Web 2.0, Social Software and Semantic Web. Blumauer, Andreas; Pellegrini, Tassilo (Eds.). Heidelberg: Springer Verlag 2008

Introduction

While from its early days the internet has been a place of social interaction [Rheingold 1993] increasing spread of internet connections and improvements in the usability of (mobile) web applications have lowered the participatory barriers for users to actively engage in virtual communities and the production of web content. The tremendous success of Wikipedia as a communitarian project of high quality content provision, the increasing popularity of blogging as a low cost personalized editorial activity and the rising importance of tagging platforms as referential architecture for the approval of relevance and quality has raised attention of how user generated content (UGC) is affecting and altering orthodox business models in content industries.

Media companies - especially aggregators – but also public organizations have already begun to take advantage of the increasing availability of UGC on the internet for various reasons, either by establishing their own collaborative platforms or by taking over established service providers. With the growing amount of UGC available increasing attention is being paid to the question how collaborative action on the web can be used for value creation taking into account that service providers and users share production resources and facilities in a manner of enterprise collaboration for mutual benefit.

Web collaboration as a means of co-production

Theories of co-production originate from public policy research. According to Ostrom [1996: 1073], “co-production is a process through which inputs from individuals who are not in the same organization are transformed into goods and services [...] that transform citizens into safer, better educated or healthier persons.” Co-production implies that users (i.e. citizens) can play an active role in producing (public) goods and services of consequence to them. According to Incera et al. [2005: 3] collaboration can be defined as a state of mutually beneficial relationships between two or more parties who work toward common goals by sharing responsibility, authority and accountability for achieving results. Hence collaboration can be seen as a specific mode of co-production to improve

structural deficiencies in production settings and value chains.

Empirical investigation in the development of open source software revealed that co-production amounts for about Euro 1,2 billion for freely available software which leads to 36% in savings in corporate research and development per year compared to conventional ways of software development in Europe [Ghosh 2006].

Research in media economics has shown that co-production is used as an efficient means to shift the costs of content production from the service provider to the user [Hess 2004], i.e. the Japanese mobile mail magazine market is almost entirely built on the honorary engagement of users in the production and continuous provision of media content [Funk 2004].

Research in human computing has focussed on the improvement of collaborative infrastructures for value creation in service provision like the semantic enrichment of images through collaborative tagging [Golder 2006; Ahn 2004].

The trend toward open access repositories (i.e. Wikipedia) to collect, organize and make available highly dispersed amounts of data in special interest domains characterizes another field of application based on the principle of co-production [Winterbottom 2007].

Over the past few years microeconomic theory has paid increasing attention to the role of the user in value creation along the innovation life cycle by the application of cyberinfrastructures [Hsu 2006; Leadbeater 2006; Fichter 2005; Franke 2004]. Widespread cyberinfrastructures like the web are a necessary (but not sufficient) precondition to achieve economy of scale on co-production communities, where the users share production resources and facilities with the providers, in a manner of enterprise collaboration [Hsu 2006]. Co-production has to be conceptualized as a two level infrastructure consisting of (1) a (top down) service provider, who provides the stable running, toolkits and marketing of content services and (2) the (bottom up) content producer who adopts the infrastructure to provide creative work in exchange of improvements in services, reputation, visibility, self-esteem, fun etc. and trying to avoid underutilization of knowledge, skills and time [Ostrom 1996].

Service providers profit from the selective integration of users along the product life cycle which can significantly improve the overall production process [Fichter 2005] leading to lower transaction costs in information provision and search, and accumulating a critical mass of data for service development, provision and the reduction of cycle times [Hsu 2006]. But to sufficiently enable and govern co-production, service providers have to establish adequate remuneration and incentive models to encourage participation, promote trust and secure quality standards.

Foundations of UGC

Wikipedia.org defines user generated content as “various kinds of media content that are produced or primarily influenced by end-users, as opposed to traditional media producers, licensed broadcasters and production companies” [Wikipedia 2007]. The UGC production embraces activities like publishing, commenting, referencing, reviewing, rating, syndicating, tagging and querying.

UGC emerges out of a micro-content-based, self-organizing infrastructure. It is being embedded within a “architecture of participation” based on the principles of bottom up networks, self-service, openness, self-regulation and decentralization [O’Reilly 2005]. In monetary terms, tools and services are cheaply available and cost-effective, being designed not just for the production of content but also for the management of relationships and shared resources.

UGC embraces 1st order editorial content and 2nd order meta-content which makes it applicable for reuse outside the context it has been created in. Tags and folksonomies are examples for meta-content which is being used to generate surplus statements, views and references within a domain. Semantic enrichment through 2nd order content therefore is a crucial, indispensable means in the improvement of content services.

According to media economics goods that are being traded on a market can be characterized by their excludability from use and their rivalry in consumption [Kiefer 2001]. By applying these two dimensions to UGC the following matrix can be drawn:

| | | | |
|---------------|-----|--|--|
| | | Rivalry | |
| | | Yes | No |
| Excludability | Yes | Private Goods (i.e. Universal Resource Identifiers) | Club Goods (i.e. Blogs, Tags, Mashups, Folksonomies) |
| | No | Common Pool Goods (i.e. water, air, space) – not relevant to UGC | Public Goods (Open Access Repositories like Wikipedia) |

The matrix shows that although media content can have several good characteristics it is best described as a club good, characterized by non-rivalry in consumption but excludability in use. The latter aspect might be intriguing to those who think of web content as a public good because of its free availability in terms of monetary costs. But taking into account that the use of web content is bound to production resources like hardware, software, internet connections skills and time, and the usage of the content is highly often bound to conditions of use like access fees, referencing, revealing personal data, agreeing to user tracking and profiling, and/or the exposure to advertisements we find an exchange pattern between service provider and content producer that makes the club good paradigm applicable.

Examples: Collaborative Tagging in ESP, Peekaboom and Phetch

The following section introduces applications that have been developed by Carnegie Mellon University School of Computer Science. The examples illustrate some low level approaches incorporating the idea of co-production for the semantic enrichment of images on the web by collaborative tagging. The empirical set up pays account to the fact that certain improvements in quality of service are hard to achieve by machines, but easy to handle by humans. Hence the human is seen as an extension of the computing system.

According to Heymann [2006:pg] collaborative tagging systems are “a good way to leverage large numbers of users to help organize very large, rapidly changing corpora which would be difficult to organize automatically. Often, this works because users are working in their own self interest as they mark an object with a particular tag, and when all of these tags are aggregated together, the system can make assumptions about objects based on the aggregate activities of hundreds of thousands or even millions of users.”

The basic idea behind the following applications was to let users do the semantic enrichment of images in their spare time by playing it as a game. According to Ahn [2004], 5,000 people continuously playing the game could assign a label to all images indexed by Google in 31 days. To achieve this two or more anonymous players gather in a team to contest against time and higher score-holders in labelling pictures, locating objects within them and phrasing their content.

ESP Game

ESP (<http://www.espgame.org>) is a Java application for the collaborative tagging of images. A team of two randomly chosen anonymous players come together for a limited amount of time to describe images by using tags. For each matching tag both players collect grants which are added to their individual score. The purpose of the game is to improve image search by collecting annotation data on a lexical level based on a quota-model. This means that just those tags are stored as legitimate annotations of an image that pass certain quota-criteria.

Peekaboom

Peekaboom (<http://www.peekaboom.org>) is a Java application used to identify the location of an object within an image. Peekaboom is an extension of the ESP Game as it deepens the semantic enrichment of images from a lexical level to a spatial description of objects located within the image.

Two randomly chosen anonymous players take turns either presenting an object within an image or guessing what the object could be. The first player gets an image along with a word related to the image (i.e. cow). By clicking on certain parts of the image the first player reveals little portions of the image to the second player. For the second player the game consists of a slowly revealing image,

which has to be named. Once the second player has guessed the correct word the team moves on to the next image and switches roles.

Phetch

Phetch (<http://www.peekaboom.org/phetch/>) addresses accessibility problems of images by visually impaired people. It was designed to produce descriptive captions of an image so that screen readers - programs that convert the text of a webpage into synthesized speech - are capable to process the content of the image and visually impaired people can share a common experience of the web.

Phetch is a 3 - 5 person game which generates explanatory phrases for images from the Web. The players are randomly grouped with others. One player is chosen as a describer, and the others are the seekers. The describer gets an image and has to help seekers find it in a given corpus by typing search strings related to the captions. Given only text from the describer, the seekers must find the image using a search query which presents the seekers a collection of possibly matching images. The first seeker to find the correct picture wins and becomes the next describer. Each session of the game lasts 5 minutes, during which all players should go through as many images as possible.

Remuneration Models in Collaborative Environments

The examples described above illustrate how gaming can be applied to set up a remuneration model between service provider and content producer. Users experience fun in competing against time and higher score holders by contributing to the qualitative improvement of the service. To secure quality of service, knowledge about motivational aspects and anonymity are crucial as trust and positive feedback (i.e. satisfaction, pleasure) are indispensable factors in this specific co-production setting [Lieberman et al. 2007]. Nevertheless in the case of collaborative tagging to extend the level of productivity beyond entertainment requires more elaborate remuneration models.

Virtual Currencies

Elaborate remuneration models take into account the fact that increases in productivity can be achieved through coupling voluntary engagement

with material rewards. In the case of Second Life (<http://www.secondlife.com/>), the co-production architecture is built in a way that rewards users with the possibility to capitalize their engagement by developing services on their own. The precondition to this is the establishment of a market based on a virtual currency (the Linden Dollar) that enables surplus transactions between service providers and content producers. The coupling of the virtual currency to “real world” financial transactions by stable exchange rates further deepens the remuneration value users acquire by participating in the co-production setting and promotes productivity.

Second Life provides a hierarchical, multi-level remuneration model incorporating the infrastructure providers (Linden Labs) on top with various service providers down the taxonomic functionality of the game. In addition, Second Life theoretically enables its users to switch between various roles thereby taking full advantage of either being a service provider or a content producer.

Bonus Systems for Collaborative Content Production – A Scenario

Bonus systems – here defined as the deliberate rewarding of positive feedback – could be a powerful means to encourage UGC and the participation in co-production settings. In the case of collaborative tagging scores acquired through a game or any other form of mutually positive interaction could be assigned material value for exchange and trade outside the production setting. The score could be transformed into a virtual currency mutually accepted by service providers to grant access to surplus goods and services, i.e. premium services, personalization, discounts, downloads etc.

To enable market conditions the currency must be accepted among various service providers from a business web transcending the validity of the virtual currency into “real world settings” by offering material exchange. Rewarding models based on bonus systems could promote the spread of cyberinfrastructures by giving users the possibility to gain material rewards through collaborative engagement and simultaneously acquire literacy and skills in the use of advanced web technologies. Bonus systems could also be seen as a metric for productivity in co-production settings, which is a necessary precondition to allow the evaluation of user participation and centrality within a given domain or community.

Conclusion

Co-production is a powerful concept. Transformations will take place along various dimensions including the modes of production (i.e. from design to co-design), the relationship between producers and consumers (i.e. from consumer to prosumer) and maybe even the socio-economic conditions in industrialized societies (i.e. from disintegrated to reintegrated value chains) [Weber 2006]. To benefit from co-production from a service provider's perspective means to incorporate it at the right level at the right scale and scope based on trust and mutual benefit. To benefit of co-production from a user's perspective means to ensure ones creative work doesn't get harvested without one's permission by at least claiming fair remuneration and privacy ideally based on a social contract. But in a co-production environment content producers have to take account of the fact that due to structural reasons corporate actors are in a more privileged position when it comes to exercise power and enforce legal rights. Hence the individual user is in a much weaker position under co-production circumstances than the corporate actor. This unequal distribution of power might be one of the hampering effects in the deployment of co-production concepts and should therefore be paid close attention to.

References

- [Ahn 2004] Von Ahn, Luis; Dabbish, Laura (2004). *Labeling Images with a Computer Game. Paper presented at CHI 2004, April 24–29, 2004, Austria.* See also: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~biglou/ESP.pdf>, viewed June 20, 2007
- [Fichter, 2005] Fichter, Klaus (2005). *Modelle der Nutzerintegration in den Innovationsprozess.* Berlin: IZT – Institut für Zukunftsstudien und Technologiebewertung
- [Franke 2004] Franke, Nikolaus; Piller, Frank (2004). *Value Creation by Toolkits for User Innovation and Design. The Case of the Watch Market.* In: *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 21/6, 2004, pp. 401-415
- [Funk 2004] Funk, Jeffrey L. (2004). *Neue Technologie, neue Kunden und die disruptive Technologie des mobilen Internet. Erfahrungen aus dem japanischen Markt.* In: Zerdick, Axel; et al. (Eds.). *E-Merging Media. Kommunikation und Medienwirtschaft der Zukunft.* Berlin: Springer Verlag

- [Ghosh, 2006] Ghosh, Rishab Aiyer (2006). *Study on the: Economic impact of open source software on innovation and the competitiveness of the Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) sector in the EU*. European Commission: Contract ENTR/04/112.
- [Golder 2006] Golder, Scott; Huberman, Bernardo (2006). *Usage Patterns of Collaborative Tagging Systems*. In: *Journal of Information Science*, 32(2). pp. 198-208.
- [Heinrich, 1999] Heinrich, Jürgen (1999). *Medienökonomie. Band 2: Hörfunk und Fernsehen*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag
- [Hess 2004] Hess, Thomas (2004). *Medienunternehmen im Spannungsfeld zwischen Mehrfachverwertung und Individualisierung. Eine Analyse für statische Inhalte*. In: Zerdick, Axel; et al. (Eds.). *E-Merging Media. Kommunikation und Medienwirtschaft der Zukunft*. Berlin: Springer Verlag
- [Hsu, 2006] Hsu, Cheng (2006). *Models of Cyberinfrastructure-Based Enterprise and their Engineering*. In: Hsu, Cheng (Eds.). *Service Enterprise Integration. An Enterprise Engineering Perspective*. Boston: Springer
- [Incera 2005] Incera, Jose; Mejia, Marcelo; Roberts, Keith (2005). *Online Collaboration as Emerging Technology. Final Project Paper on INFT 625*. See also: http://collaborationwiki.pbwiki.com/f/Online_Collaboration_Paper.doc, viewed Mai 1, 2007
- [Kiefer 2001] Kiefer, Marie Luise (2001). *Medienökonomik*. München: Oldenbourg Verlag
- [Leadbeater 2006] Leadbeater, Charles (2006). *The User Innovation Revolution. Report by the National Consumer Council, London, UK*
- [Lieberman 2007] Lieberman, Henry; Smith, Dustin; Teeters, Alea (2007). *Common Consensus: a webbased game for collecting commonsense goals*. Paper presented at IUI'07, January 28–31, 2007, Hawaii, USA.
- [O'Reilly 2005] O'Reilly, Tim (2005). *What is Web 2.0? Design Patterns and Business Models for the Next Generation of Software*. See also: <http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/a/oreilly/tim/news/2005/09/30/what-is-web-20.html?page=1>, viewed April 30, 2007
- [Ostrom, 1996] Ostrom, Elinor (1996). *Crossing the Great Divide: Coproduction, Synergy and Development*. In: *World Development*, 24/6, 1996, pp 1073 - 1087
- [Rheingold, 1993] Rheingold, Howard (1993). *Virtual Communities*. New York: Addison Wesley
- [Weber, 2006] Weber, Michael; Fröschl, Karl (2006). *Semantic Web als Innovation in der ökonomischen Koordination*. In: Pellegrini, Tassilo; Blumauer, Andreas (Hg.). *Semantic Web. Wege zur vernetzten Wissensgesellschaft*. Berlin: Springer Verlag
- [Wikipedia 2007] Wikipedia (2007). *User-generated content*. In: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/User_generated_content, viewed April 30, 2007
- [Winterbottom 2007] Winterbottom, Anna; North, James (2007) *Building an Open Access African Studies Repository Using Web 2.0 Principles*. In: *First Monday*, 26.03.2007, http://www.firstmonday.org/issues/issue12_4/winterbottom/, viewed May 05, 2007